

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.15452 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-1 Year-2024 Thana- BADDI District- Rohtas

Pradeep Kumar Soni Son of Late Rajdeo Sonar Resident of Village-
Darigaon, P.S. -Darigaon, District- Rohtas

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Krishna Prasad Singh, Sr. Adv.
		Mr. Amrendra Narayan Rai, Adv.
For the Opposite Party/s :		Mr. Awadhesh Kumar Singh, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE CHANDRA PRAKASH SINGH

ORAL ORDER

3 01-11-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
APP for the State.

2. The petitioner has preferred this application for grant of regular bail in connection with Baddi P.S. Case No. 01 of 2024 dated 23.08.2024 registered for the offences punishable u/ss 309(6), 103, 61(2) read with Section 3(5) of the B.N.S. and Section 27 of the Arms Act.

3. As per the prosecution case, on 22.08.2024, the informant's son was returning to his village after closing his shop, When he reached near Bajrangbali Mandir, three unknown miscreants shot him dead. Thereafter the accused persons took away the bag of the informant's son containing 150 gms. of Gold, 7 kg. of Silver and Rs. 20,000/- in cash.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner is innocent and has falsely been implicated in this



case. The petitioner is not named in the F.I.R. The name of the petitioner has sprung up in the confessional statement of the co-accused, Suresh Prasad @ Suresh Chaurasia. Nothing has been recovered from the conscious possession of the petitioner. The petitioner has no concern with the alleged offence. The petitioner has no criminal antecedent as stated in para 3 of the bail petition. The petitioner is in custody since 20.11.2024.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State has opposed the bail petition of the petitioner and submitted that the petitioner and the other co-accused persons are involved in the commission of murder of the informant's son which is evident from the confessional statement of the co-accused, Asgar Sah. The co-accused, Asgar Sah has stated that a few days before the incident, Suresh Prasad Chaurasia, Ajit Yadav and Satyendra Kumar were called to Alampur to meet the petitioner. They came to the shop of the petitioner. The petitioner explained everything to them and finalized the plan to kill the deceased for Rs. 1.5 lakh. The petitioner gave Rs. 50,000/- to Ajit Yadav as an advance and promised to pay the remaining amount after the work was done. On 22.08.2024, as per the pre-plan, the co-accused, Suresh Prasad Chaurasia, Ajit Yadav and Satyendra arrived at Alampur market at 5 P.M. The co-accused, Satyendra had a white Apache bike, a pistol and bullets. The co-accused Ajit Yadav also had a pistol and



bullets. As planned, they waited for Suraj Soni to close his shop. When Suraj Soni after closing the shop left for his home, another boy was also riding on his motorcycle with him. The accused persons started following them and the co-accused, Satyendra kicked Suraj Soni causing him to fall from his motorcycle then Ajit Yadav fired on Suraj Soni. Even after being shot, Suraj Soni caught hold of Satyendra and threw him on the ground then Ajit Yadav again shot him. Hearing the gunshot, some villagers came there and the accused persons fled away. As per the post-mortem report of the deceased, the cause of death is haemorrhage and shock due to firearms injury.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case as well as the heinous nature of allegation against the petitioner, I am not inclined to enlarge the petitioner above-named on bail.

7. Learned trial court is directed to expedite the trial and conclude the same at the earliest.

8. The application stands rejected.

(Chandra Prakash Singh, J)

Gautam/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

