

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.16450 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-233 Year-2023 Thana- BHELDI District- Saran

Pappu Mahto Son Of Dev Lal Mahto @ Tuntun Mahto Resident Of Village -
Samstpura, P.O. And P.S. - Bheldi, District - Saran, Bihar (841402)

... .. Petitioner/S

Versus

The State Of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Sriram Krishna- Advocate Mr. Amarjeet- Advocate Mr. Prashant Kumar Singh- Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s :		Mr. Raj Ballabh Singh- A.P.P.

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

- 2 16-04-2025 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned APP for the State.
2. The petitioner seeks bail in anticipation of his arrest in a case registered for the offences punishable under Sections 147,148,149,341,323,307,379,354B,504 and 506 of the Indian Penal Code.
3. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is a person with clean antecedent and the informant alleges that 11 accused persons including the petitioner are always trying to grab his land. Further, on 24.07.23, all the accused persons intercepted him and assaulted him by lathi and iron rod causing injury on head and also looted Rs.8,000/- along with gold chain and even assaulted his wife



and outraged her modesty, who came to save her.

4. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner has been falsely implicated in the instant case by the informant with general and omnibus allegation. It is next submitted that from perusal of the allegation as alleged in the FIR, it would manifest that no specific allegation is alleged against the petitioner of assaulting the informant. It is next submitted that no doubt, the injury suffered by the injured on head is opined to be grievous, but then, it does not appear probable that 11 accused persons would have assaulted the informant in the manner as alleged, in that event, more injury would have been caused to the informant. It is also submitted that petitioner will not abscond rather will cooperate in the investigation to prove his innocence.

5. Learned A.P.P. opposes the anticipatory bail application.

6. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, the petitioner, above-named, in the event of his arrest or surrender before the learned Court below within a period of six weeks, is directed to be released on bail on his furnishing bail-bonds in the sum of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the



satisfaction of the learned Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate-
XI, Chapra, Saran in connection with Bheldi P. S. Case No.233
of 2023, subject to the conditions laid down under Section
438(2) of the Cr.P.C.

7. The application stands allowed.

8. However, it is made clear that in the event, if any
application is filed by the Investigating Officer before the
learned trial Court bringing to its notice that petitioner, despite
giving assurance to this Court, is not cooperating in the
investigation or is not presenting himself as and when required,
the learned trial Court shall be at liberty to cancel the bail bonds
of the petitioner after recording reasons.

9. Let a copy of this order be sent to the concerned
Police Station through the learned trial Court.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

vikash/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

