

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.16114 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-300 Year-2024 Thana- KAMTAUL District- Darbhanga

1. Chunchun Devi D/o Mahesh Paswan R/o village - Barhampur, P.S - Kamtaul, District - Darbhanga
2. Manish Kumar @ Manish Paswan S/o Mahesh Paswan R/o village - Barhampur, P.S - Kamtaul, District - Darbhanga

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Devendra Kumar, Adv.

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Rajendra Nath Jha, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RUDRA PRAKASH MISHRA
ORAL ORDER

3 15-07-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and learned A.P.P. for the State. Perused the case diary called for in Cr. Misc. No. 15405 of 2025.

2. The petitioners apprehend their arrest in connection with Kamtaul P.S. Case No. 300 of 2024 registered for the offences punishable under Sections 80, 238 and 3(5) of the Bhartiya Nyaya Sanhita.

3. As per prosecution case, the accusation against the accused persons including the petitioners is committing murder of the Informant's daughter for non-fulfillment of dowry demand.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners are innocent and have committed no offence as



alleged against them and have falsely been implicated in the present case. The petitioners are sister-in-law and brother-in-law of the deceased. There is no specific or direct allegation of any overt act against the petitioner rather the same is general and omnibus in nature. He further submits that after the death of the deceased, the Informant was properly informed by the accused persons and, in their presence, the last rites were done. The petitioners have never demanded any dowry from the deceased or her family members. Both the petitioners were living separately from the mess and business of the deceased and her husband. The petitioners have no criminal antecedent as have been stated in paragraph no.3 of the present anticipatory bail application. The petitioners have no concern with the alleged occurrence.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner, stating that the offence alleged is heinous in nature. He further submits that the witnesses in Para- 4, 5 & 6 have supported the prosecution case. Charge-sheet has been submitted in this case.

6. Having heard learned counsel for the parties and considering the entire facts and circumstances of the case as also there being no specific allegation against the petitioners, let the



petitioners above-named, in the event of their arrest or surrender before the learned Court below within a period of six weeks from today, be released on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned court below where the case is pending/successor court in connection with Kamtaul P.S. Case No. 300 of 2024, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 482 (2) of the B.N.S.S.

(Rudra Prakash Mishra, J)

rishi/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

