

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.7561 of 2016

=====

Ramchandra Sah Son of Chhathu Sah, resident of village- Sursand, P.S.
Sursand, District- Sitamarhi, Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar
 2. The Collector, Sitamarhi.
 3. The Deputy Collector Land Reforms, Pupri, Sitamarhi.
 4. The Circle Officer, Sursand, Dist. Sitamarhi.
 5. The Anchal Amin, Sursand, Dist. Sitamarhi.
 6. Jitendra Kumar, S/o Rajeshwar Sah, Resident of village P.S. Sursand,
District- Sitamarhi.
- Respondent/s
- =====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Avinash Kumar, Advocate
For the Respondent/s : Mr. Rajesh Kumar, AC to GP-3

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV ROY
ORAL ORDER

2 01-12-2025 Heard Mr. Avinash Kumar, learned counsel for the
petitioner and the State.

2. The present petition has been preferred for the
following relief/s:

*(i) for issuance of writ in the nature of
mandamus commanding and directing the
respondents authority to demarcate the land
of the petitioner by appointing a Anchal Amin
for which the petitioner has deposited cost of
Amin and comply the order dated 8.2.2016
passed by the Deputy Collector Land Reform,
Pupri whereby and where under a direction
was given to the Circle Officer demarcate the
land of the to petitioner taking help to the*



concern Police Station;

*(ii) and for any other relief/reliefs for which
the petitioner is found to be entitled in the eye
of law.*

3. A counter affidavit has come on behalf of the respondent nos. 2 and 4 and learned counsel for the State has taken this Court to different Annexures to show that they have taken steps in the matter and demarcation took place.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner dispute the same and submits that it is prior to the writ petition.

5. The counter affidavit was served upon the learned counsel for the petitioner on 21.07.2022 and there is no rebuttal to it when the case has been taken up.

6. In that background, the Court is satisfied that the demarcation has taken place. If the petitioner still has any grievance, can always have the liberty to move before the revenue authorities.

7. With the aforesaid observation, the writ petition is disposed of.

(Rajiv Roy, J)

Ravi/-

U			
---	--	--	--

