

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.20232 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-547 Year-2023 Thana- KOTWALI District- Munger

Vikram Yadav son of Kailu Yadav village- Lal Darwaja, Kamal Baba Road,
Ps- Kotwali, Dist- Munger

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Anurag Saurav, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Bhanu Pratap Singh, APP

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RUDRA PRAKASH
MISHRA**

ORAL ORDER

4 11-07-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
APP for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in connection with Kotwali
P.S. Case No. 547 of 2023 instituted for the offences under
Sections 147, 148, 149, 323, 341, 326, 307, 504, 506 of the
Indian Penal Code and subsequently, Section 302 of the IPC was
added.

3. Earlier vide order dated 20.07.2024 passed in Cr.
Misc. No. 36478 of 2024 the prayer for grant of bail to the
petitioner was rejected.

4. Prosecution case, in short, is that on the alleged date
and time, informant received the information that all the FIR
named accused persons including 20-25 unknown persons were



assaulting the father of the informant near Shiwala Dharamshala Gate. He immediately arrived there to rescue his father and found co-accused Subodh Yadav was assaulting his father with butt of pistol, Subhash Yadav assaulted his father with Kudal on his head as a result he fell on ground. When the informant tried to lift his father, co-accused Kailu Yadav, Aman and Anshu assaulted the father of the informant with lathi-danda due to which he sustained injuries and later on died.

5. Learned counsel for the petitioner mainly submitted that the petitioner has been languishing in jail since 01.01.2024 and there is no significant progress in the trial. Learned counsel further submitted that there is no likelihood of conclusion of trial in near future and therefore, petitioner may be released on bail. It has been submitted on behalf of the petitioner that the petitioner has one criminal antecedent.

6. Learned A.P.P. for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of bail to the petitioner.

7. As per the report dated 27.03.2025 sent by the learned court below, the trial is at the stage of prosecution evidence and two witnesses have also been examined. It is further reported that witnesses including doctor and I.O. are yet to be examined.



8. Having considered the submissions made on behalf of the parties, this Court finds no fresh ground to reconsider the matter which has already been decided on merit by this Court. Taking into account the present stage of trial, this Court is not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner.

9. Accordingly, the prayer for grant of bail to the petitioner is, hereby, rejected.

10. Learned Trial Court is directed to expedite the trial.

(Rudra Prakash Mishra, J)

Alok Verma/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

