

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.5590 of 2025

1. Rajnish Kumar Son of Sri Digambar Jha, Resident of village- Virta Tola, Dhaka, P.S.- Dhaka, District- East Champaran.
2. Uttam Kumar, Son of Sri Anil Prasad, Resident of village- Badshahpur, Mandana, P.S.-Sheikhpura, District- Sheikhpura.
3. Sudhanshu Kumar, Son of Sri Yogendra Singh, Resident of village- Majhawaliya, P.S.- Kopa, District- Saran (Chapra).
4. Komal Kumari, D/o Sri Dhirendra Kumar Mandal, Resident of ward No.- 19, Patel Nagar, near JNKT, Khagaria, P.S.- Khagaria, District- Khagaria.
5. Ravi Shankar Kumar, Son of Ashok Kumar, Resident of Mohalla- Kamruddinganj, behind Vandana Cinema, P.S.- Laheri, District- Nalanda.
6. Khushboo Kumari, D/o Sri Koushal Kumar, and wife of Subhash Patel, Resident of village- Chandoura, P.S.- Chhabilapur, District- Nalanda.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Chief Secretary, Govt. of Bihar.
2. The Secretary, Bihar Technical Service Commission, Bihar, Patna.
3. The Engineer in Chief- cum Additional Commissioner-Cum-Special Secretary, Road Construction Department, Bihar, Patna.
4. The Deputy Secretary (Management Cell), Road Construction Department, Bihar, Patna.
5. The Secretary, Bihar Public Service Commission, Patna.

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Prabhu Nath Pathak, Adv.
For the Respondent/s	:	Mr. Sarvesh Kumar, Government Pleader (24)
For the BTSC	:	Mr. Nikesh Kumar, Adv.
		Mr. Akshansh Shanker, Adv.

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ARVIND SINGH CHANDEL
ORAL ORDER

2 23-04-2025 At the outset, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that this matter is squarely covered by the judgment dated 03.12.2024 passed by the Co-ordinate Bench of this Court in ***CWJC No. 241 of 2022 Rishi Kumar Vs. The State of Bihar & Ors.*** It is prayed by him that this petition may be disposed of,



directing the concerned respondent no. 2 to consider the case of the petitioner in the light of the above judgment and pass a reasoned order.

2. Considering the submission made by the counsel for the petitioner, it would be appropriate to dispose of the petition. Accordingly, this petition is disposed of, the petitioner is directed to make a fresh representation before the concerned respondent no. 2, raising all grounds which he raised in this writ petition and, in turn, the concerned respondent no. 2 is also directed to consider and decide the same in accordance with relevant rules and laws and further taking into consideration the observation made by this Court in ***CWJC No. 241 of 2022, Rishi Kumar Vs. The State of Bihar*** (supra) and pass a reasoned order as early as possible probably within three weeks from the submission of such representation.

(Arvind Singh Chandel , J)

Siddharth Soni/-

U			
---	--	--	--

