

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.17004 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-310 Year-2019 Thana- SIWAN CITY District- Siwan

-
1. Raj Bahadur Singh @ Ram Bahadur Singh Son of Late shankar Singh
Resident of village- Chandpur PS- MH Nagar Dist- Siwan
 2. Mithilesh Singh @ Mukul Singh son of Late Mahant Singh Resident of
village- Chandpur PS- MH Nagar Dist- Siwan

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Kumari Anupam

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Ram Sumiran Rai

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 16-04-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and learned A.P.P.
for the State.

2. The petitioners apprehend their arrest in a case registered
for the offences punishable under Sections 302, 34 of the I.P.C.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the
petitioners have antecedent of one case and the informant alleges
that on 21.05.2019, Raj Bahadur/ petitioner no.1, made her
husband sit in the middle of a motorcycle and petitioner no.2,
Mithilesh Singh sat behind her husband, further Rakesh Singh
made the informant sat on his motorcycle while Ranjan Singh sat
behind her, thereafter, they left her near Mairwa crossing and later
she was informed by the chaukidar that dead body of her husband
was lying thus, alleges that the accused persons killed her



husband.

4. It is also submitted that Rakesh Singh and Ranjan Singh had approached this Court seeking anticipatory bail by filing Cr. Misc. No.15783 of 2025 and the same was allowed vide order dated 16.04.2025 with certain conditions. It is next submitted that case of the petitioners is similar to the case of Rakesh Singh and Ranjan Singh. The only difference is that the informant in the F.I.R. alleges that she was made to sit on the motorcycle in the middle by Rakesh Singh while Ranjan sat behind her and her husband was made to sit in the middle by Raj Bahadur on his motorcycle and Mithilesh Singh sat behind him.

5. It is further submitted that petitioners will not abscond rather will cooperate in the investigation to establish their innocence.

6. Learned A.P.P. for the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioners.

7. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioners and taking into consideration the order dated 16.04.2025 passed in Cr. Misc. No.15783 of 2025, the petitioners above-named, in the event of their arrest or surrender before the learned trial court within a period of six weeks from today, be released on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs.25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand) each with two



sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned trial court where the case is pending/successor court in connection with Siwan Town P.S. Case No.310 of 2019, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 482 (2) of the BNSS.

8. It is made clear that if the investigating officer of the case files an application before the learned Trial Court that petitioners despite giving assurance to the Court are not cooperating in the investigation in that event the learned Trial Court shall be at liberty to cancel the bail bonds of the petitioners.

9. It is further made clear that if charge sheet is submitted connecting the petitioners with the occurrence in that event the present anticipatory bail order shall come to an end.

10. Let a copy of this order be sent to the concerned police station through the learned Trial Court.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

shikha/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

