

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.15937 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-47 Year-2024 Thana- MAHARAJGANJ District- Siwan

Sona Chik @ Sona Ansari son of Imteyaz Ansari @ Eliyas Ansari @ Eliyas
Alam village- kasdewara, ps- Maharajganj, Dist- Siwan

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Ranjit Kumar Thakur

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Binod Kumar

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ARVIND SINGH CHANDEL
ORAL ORDER

3 15-05-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and
learned counsel for the State.

2. This is the first regular bail application of the petitioner seeking his regular bail in connection with Maharajganj P.S. Case No. 47 of 2024 registered for the offence under Sections 307, 504, 506/34 of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita and Section 27 of the Arms Act.

3. According to the case of prosecution, it is alleged that on 20.02.2024, when the victim Aliyas Ansari was going towards his house, allegedly on the way three unknown persons laced with *Nakab* reached there and fired upon the victim due to that he sustained injury on her body. On the basis of information given by him, the offence has been registered against unknown persons. Subsequently, on 31.10.2024, his restatement has been



recorded wherein he named the present applicant as assailant, therefore, petitioner has been arrested.

4. It is submitted by the learned counsel for the petitioner that petitioner is innocent and has falsely been implicated in the present case. The alleged incident is of 20.10.2024 and on the date of recording of *fardbeyan*, the petitioner did not disclose the name of the present applicant. Subsequently, after eight months of the alleged incident when his statement has again recorded then the first time, he mentioned the name of the present applicant, therefore his statement, which has been recorded subsequently, is not reliable. Apart from that, there is no material available on record on the basis of which the present applicant can be implicated in the present crime in question. Petitioner is in custody since 01.07.2024 and the trial will take more time, therefore, on these grounds, it is prayed that the petitioner may be granted benefit of bail.

5. Learned counsel for the State opposes the arguments raised by the counsel for the petitioner.

6. Heard.

7. Perused the case diary and other materials available on record.



8. Considering the submissions made by the counsel for the petitioner and further considering the material available on record, I am of the view that it is a case where the petitioner should be granted benefit of bail.

9. Accordingly, the application is allowed.

10. The petitioner, above named, be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of J.M., 1st Class, Siwan in connection with Maharajganj P.S. Case No. 47 of 2024.

(Arvind Singh Chandel , J)

shailendra/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

