

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Miscellaneous Jurisdiction Case No.860 of 2025

In
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.17035 of 2015

=====

Dinanath Singh Son of Late Sukhdeo Singh, Resident of Village- Kauriya,
Tole Raman Ray, P.O. - Kauriya, P.S. - Bhagwanpur Hat, District - Siwan,
Retired Assistant Teacher, Harizan Madhya Vidyalaya, Shankarpur, Block -
Baikunthpur, Gopalganj.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Sri Pankaj Kumar, the Director, Primary Education, Govt. of Bihar, New
Secretariat, Patna.
3. Sri Yogesh Kumar, the District Education Officer, Gopalganj.
4. Jamaluddin, the District Programme Officer (Establishment), Gopalganj.

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Bipin Bihari Singh, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Government Pleader 27

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV ROY
ORAL ORDER

3 07-11-2025 Heard Mr. Bipin Bihari Singh, learned counsel for the

petitioner and the State

2. The present petition has been preferred for the
following relief(s):

*“for initiation of contempt proceeding
against Opposite Parties, who knowingly,
deliberately and willfully flouted the judicial order-
dated 04.01.2024 passed by the Hon'ble Mr. Justice
Rajiv Roy, J. in C.W.J.C. No. 17035 of 2015
(Anand Kumar Trivedi & Another Versus The State*



of Bihar & Ors.) whereby and where under the writ petition was disposed of with the direction to file a separate representation before the Director, Primary Education, Bihar within 4 weeks for determination, adjudication and final decision on recommendation of District Education Officer, Gopalganj under Letter No. 98 dated 17.02.2014 and in case the petitioners prefer their separate representation within four weeks from today, the Director, Primary Education, Bihar, Patna, shall look into the matter as also the letter issued by the District Education Officer, Gopalganj, as stated above, and shall take decision within a period of eight weeks thereafter.”

3. A show cause has come and the claim of the petitioner has been rejected.

4. Granting liberty to the petitioner to agitate the same, the contempt petition stands disposed of.

(Rajiv Roy, J)

Adnan/-

U			
---	--	--	--

