

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.5842 of 2025

-
1. Ram Naresh Ray Son of Late Sakaldeep Ray Resident of Village- Minapur, P.S.- Hajipur Town, District- Vaishali
 2. Anil Ray Son of Late Sakaldeep Ray Resident of Village- Minapur, P.S.- Hajipur Town, District- Vaishali
 3. Arvind Ray Son of Late Sakaldeep Ray Resident of Village- Minapur, P.S.- Hajipur Town, District- Vaishali
 4. Dharmendra Ray Son of Late Sakaldeep Ray Resident of Village- Minapur, P.S.- Hajipur Town, District- Vaishali
 5. Bachcha Ray Son of Late Sakaldeep Ray Resident of Village- Minapur, P.S.- Hajipur Town, District- Vaishali
 6. Rahul Kumar Son of Late Sakaldeep Ray Resident of Village- Minapur, P.S.- Hajipur Town, District- Vaishali

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Principal Secretary Revenue Department, Government of Bihar
2. The Collector, Vaishali at Hajipur
3. The Additional District Collector, Vaishali at Hajipur
4. The Deputy Collector Land Reform Hajipur, District- Vaishali
5. Anchal Adhikari, Hajipur Anchal, District- Vaishali
6. Rajendra Ray son of Late Chandradeep Ray, resident of village-Minapur, P.O- Hajipur, P.S.- Hajipur Town, District- Vaishali
7. Surendra Ray son of Late Chandradeep Ray resident of village-Minapur, P.O- Hajipur, P.S.- Hajipur Town, District- Vaishali
8. Bhola Ray son of Late Chandradeep Ray resident of village-Minapur, P.O- Hajipur, P.S.- Hajipur Town, District- Vaishali
9. Amod Ray son of Late Chandradeep Ray resident of village-Minapur, P.O- Hajipur, P.S.- Hajipur Town, District- Vaishali
10. Lal Mohan Ray son of Late Chandradeep Ray resident of village-Minapur, P.O- Hajipur, P.S.- Hajipur Town, District- Vaishali

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Natraj Verma, Advocate
For the Respondent/s : Mr. Government Pleader (18)

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV ROY
ORAL ORDER

2 22-04-2025 Learned counsel for the petitioner undertakes to



remove the defect(s) as pointed out by the Office.

2. Heard the parties.

3. The present writ petition has been preferred for the following relief(s):

“for issuance of a direction to the respondent no. 4 to proceed with the Rent Fixation Appeal no. 203 of 2012-13 as per Remand order passed by Additional Collector, Vaishali at Hajipur in Rent Fixation Appeal Case No. 1015 of 2018-19 as contained in Annexure-P/2”

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that a decision was taken and vide memo no. 29 dated 15.03.2021 the Additional Collector, Vaishali at Hajipur had given a positive direction to the Deputy Collector Land Reforms, Hajipur to dispose of the Rent Fixation Case No. 203 of 2012-13 afresh as the earlier order dated 16.05.2016 was set aside (Annexure-P/2 to the petition). Instead, the respondent no. 4, the Deputy Collector Land Reforms, Hajipur is lingering the matter.

5. Learned State counsel submits that if the same has not been disposed of, the concerned respondent will be disposing it of in next three months.



6. It is unfortunate that the respondent no. 4 is defying the order of the Additional District, Collector, Vaishali forcing the petitioner to file the writ petition, if the contention of the petitioner is correct.

7. In that background, the writ petition stands disposed of with a direction to the respondent no. 4, the Deputy Collector, Land Reforms, Hajipur to take up the matter and dispose it of if still not disposed of within a period of three months from the date, the order is provided to the Office after hearing all the necessary parties.

8. Failure to do so, the petitioner shall be free to take up the matter by filing a proper contempt petition.

(Rajiv Roy, J)

Adnan/-

U			
---	--	--	--

