

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.16210 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-27 Year-2014 Thana- MAHILA P.S. District- Samastipur

Shravan Kumar Roy @ Sarwan Kumar Ray @ Sharvan Kumar S/O Late
Sajjan Roy R/O Village- Harpur Barheta, P.S- Sarairanjan, Distt- Samastipur.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Anupriya W/O Shravan Kumar Roy @ Sarwan Kumar Ray R/O Village-
Harpur Barheta, P.S- Sarairanjan, Dist.- Samastipur at present D/o- Arvind
Kumar Mehta, R/O Village- Narepur, P.S- Bachhua, Distt.- Begusarai.

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s :	Mr. Dilip Kumar Roy, Adv.
For the Opposite Party/s :	Mr. Kumar Ranjit Ranjan, APP
	Mr. Brajesh Kumar Pandey, Adv.
	Ms. Rekha Ranjan Prasad, Adv.

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RUDRA PRAKASH
MISHRA**

ORAL ORDER

3 07-05-2025 The present application has been listed today under
the heading “Office Notes”.

2. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
APP for the State. Learned counsel for the O.P. No.2 has also
appeared.

3. The petitioner seeks bail in connection with Woman
P.S. Case No. 27 of 2014 (T.R. Case No. 666 of 2024) instituted
for the offences under Sections 498A, 341, 323, 379/34 of the
I.P.C. and Section 3/4 of the Dowry Prohibition Act.

4. As per prosecution case, the accusation has been
made against the petitioner of harassing and torturing the



Informant for non-fulfillment of dowry demand.

5. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is innocent and has committed no offence as alleged against him and has falsely been implicated in the present case. The petitioner is the husband of the Informant. He further submits that nothing incriminating has been recovered from the conscious possession of the petitioner. There is no specific or direct allegation of any overt act against the petitioner rather the same is general and omnibus in nature. He further submits that the petitioner is ready to keep the Informant with full dignity and honour. The petitioner has no criminal antecedent and is languishing in judicial custody since 21.08.2024 without any rhymes or reason.

6. On the other hand, learned A.P.P. for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of bail to the petitioner.

7. Learned counsel for the Informant submits that the Informant is ready to live with the petitioner.

8. Having heard rival contention of both the parties and considering the entire facts and circumstances of the case, the period of custody of the petitioner as also taking into account the fact that both the parties are ready to live together, let the petitioner, abovenamed, be released on bail on furnishing



bail bonds of Rs.10,000/- (Ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of Court below/concerned Court in connection with Woman P.S. Case No. 27 of 2014 (T.R. Case No. 666 of 2024), subject to the condition that both the parties shall file a joint affidavit at the time of furnishing bail bond before the learned court below to the effect that the parties have agreed to live together.

(Rudra Prakash Mishra, J)

rishi/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

