

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.15450 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-78 Year-2023 Thana- NADI P.S. District- Patna

=====

Satish Kumar Son of Sri Dina Rai @ Dina Nath Rai @ Deena Gop Resident
of Village- Jethuli, P.S.- Nadi, Distt.- Patna

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Abhimanyu Deo, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Manoj Kumar , APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PRABHAT KUMAR SINGH
ORAL ORDER

2 19-03-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and the

State .

2. Petitioner apprehends arrest in a case registered for
the offence punishable under sections 147, 148 , 149, 342, 120-
B, 307, 337, 448 , 436, 395, 354, 504, 506, 427 of IPC and 27
of Arms Act.

3 . As per the prosecution case , informant who is
Mukhiya of Jethuli Panchayat, on 19.02.2023 at about 12:00
PM, was present at her home with family and were performing
Hawan then all of a sudden at 12:30 PM., sound of firing was
heard. It is further alleged that 79 F.I.R., named persons and
200 unknown persons armed with rifle and gun were pelting
stone on Panchayat Office and were also firing indiscriminately
due to which stampede took place in which one person sustained



gunshot injury . It is further alleged that even after arrival of police party , all the accused persons looted several movable property and documents from the house . It is further alleged that co-accused Dharmendra Kumar tried to burn the informant and others and set the house of informant on fire and also destroyed CCTV cameras. Co- accused Sandip Kumar looted license gun and other important articles. Several buildings and 20-22 vehicles were burnt and damaged animals were also burnt.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is innocent and has falsely been implicated in this case due to village politics. Allegation is general and omnibus against petitioner. No specific allegation against this petitioner.

5 . Learned counsel for the State oppose the prayer for bail and submitted petitioner is named in the F.I.R., and there is specific allegation against the petitioner that he along with other co-accused persons looted valuable documents , license gun and set the house of informant on fire and in the aforesaid occurrence several persons sustained injuries. Petitioner has got 5 criminal antecedent out of which some are of similar nature .

6. Considering the nature of accusation , criminal



antecedent and gravity of offence , prayer for pre-arrest bail of
the petitioner is rejected.

(Prabhat Kumar Singh, J)

Koushik/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

