

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.21179 of 2025**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-16 Year-2025 Thana- BOCHAHAN District- Muzaffarpur

Abhinav Raj Singh Son of Amaresh Kumar Village -Chaumukh Devgan PS-  
Bochaha, District -Muzaffarpur

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

**Appearance :**

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Santosh Kumar, Adv.

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Sangeeta Sharma, APP

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE DR. ANSHUMAN**  
**ORAL ORDER**

2      23-04-2025                      Heard Learned Counsel for the petitioner and  
Learned A.P.P for the State.

2. The petitioner is apprehending arrest in connection with Bochahan P.S. Case No.16 of 2025, lodged on 19.01.2025 for the offence punishable under Sections 30(a), 32, 36 and 41(1) of the Bihar Prohibition and Excise (Amendment) Act, 2022.

3. As per the prosecution, the total recovery of 3789 litres of *foreign* liquor has been made, which is the subject matter of the present case. The said wine has been kept in Tata company Oil Tanker and the allegation against the petitioner that he was sitting on a motorcycle who who showing way to the said oil tanker.

4. Learned Counsel for the petitioner submits that the



petitioner is innocent and has committed no offence. Counsel also submits that petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present case. He submits that from the said motorcycle, only two cartoons of wine have been recovered. Counsel further submits that criminal antecedent of the petitioner is clean.

5. Counsel further submits that the petitioner is ready to fulfill all the conditions whatsoever shall be imposed upon him.

6. Learned APP for the State opposes the prayer for bail of the petitioner and submits that criminal antecedent of the petitioner is clean, but huge quantity of excise material has been recovered from the said vehicle in which one oil tanker, three pick up van and one motorcycle were seized.

7. In the present facts and circumstances, this Court is not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner. Accordingly, the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner is hereby rejected.

**(Dr. Anshuman, J.)**

Prakashmani/-

|   |  |   |  |
|---|--|---|--|
| U |  | T |  |
|---|--|---|--|

