

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Miscellaneous Jurisdiction Case No.824 of 2025

In

Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.13078 of 2024

=====

Anil Kumar, son of Late Nand Kishore Prasad Singh, Resident of Village Sarmera near Gayatri Mandir, Police Station Sarmera in the District of Nalanda.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar, Energy Dept., Through Mr. Pankaj Kumar Pal Son of not known to the petitioner, The Principal Secretary, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
2. Mr. Mahendra Kumar, son of not known to the petitioner, The Chairman, Suth Bihar Power Distribution Limited, Patna.
3. Md. Parved Alam, son of not known to the petitioner The Managing Director Cum Chief Engineer Transmission Zone New, Punaichak, Patna.
4. Mr. Anil Kumar, son of not known to the petitioner, The General Manager (Human Recourses/ Administration) Bihar State Power Transmission Company Limited Vidhut Bhawan Patna.
5. Md. Aftab Alam son of not known to the petitioner, The General Manager Finance, Bihar State Power Transmission Company Limited, Vidhut Bhawan, Patna.
6. Mr. Rajeev Kumar son of not known to the petitioner The Superintending Engineer Electricity Transmission Circle Bihar Sharif.
7. Mr. Prabhat Kumar, son of not known to the petitioner, The Executive Engineer Transmission Division Hathidah.
8. Mr. Prabhat Anand, son of not known to the petitioner, The Assistant Executive Engineer, Transmission Sub Division Hathidah.
9. Mr. Saurabh Kumar, son of not known to the petitioner, The Account Officer Transmission Sub Division Bihar Sarif.
10. Mr. Munna Prasad son of not known to the petitioner, The Assistant Executive Engineer, Transmission Sub Division Tehta.

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Sanjeev Kumar, Advocate
For the State	:	Mr. Anant Prasad, SC-15
		Mrs. Deepika Sharma, AC to SC-15
For the BSPTCL	:	Mr. Kunal Tiwary, Advocate

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE BIBEK CHAUDHURI

ORAL ORDER

2 25-07-2025

1. It is submitted by the learned Advocate for the

Opposite Party that the order passed in the writ petition has



already been complied with, therefore, the instant contempt petition be dropped.

2. The petitioner is at liberty to take fresh action with regard to the claim of his interest on the amount received by him on the basis of the order passed in the writ petition.

3. This Contempt Application is, accordingly, disposed of.

(Bibek Chaudhuri, J)

skm/-

U			
---	--	--	--

