

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.4103 of 2025

=====

Ramalagan Yadav S/O - Late Budhani Yadav Resident of Village- Mallichak,
Hawanpura Panchayat, Bhandari, P.S.- Rahui, District- Nalanda

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Principal Secretary, Department of Revenue and Land Reforms, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Commissioner, Patna. Division, Nalanda.
3. The District Magistrate, District-Nalanda.
4. The Sub-Divisional Officer, Bihar Sarif, District-Nalanda.
5. The Land Reforms Deputy Collector, Bihar Sarif, District-Nalanda.
6. The Circle Officer, Rahui, District-Nalanda.
7. The Anchal Amin, Circle Office, Rahui, District-Nalanda.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Rajeev Ranjan
For the Respondent/s : AC to SC-21

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 08-04-2025 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and
learned AC to SC-21 for the State.

2. The Court is not inclined to entertain the writ application for the reason that there is no clarity in the pleading nor any documentary evidence are there on record of the writ application that on what basis the petitioner is claiming the land in dispute, on which it is being submitted that the Panchayat Sarkar Bhawan is being constructed, further the writ application also does not disclose that as to whether *Jamabandi* was created in name of the petitioner or his ancestor.



3. The Court completely fails to appreciate that writ of mandamus is being sought, but then pleadings are being made in a very casual manner.

4. The writ application is dismissed.

5. However, the same will not preclude the petitioner from filing a fresh writ application with proper pleadings, prayer and essential facts.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

Rishabh/-

U			
---	--	--	--

