

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.15986 of 2025**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-596 Year-2022 Thana- GOPALPUR District- Bhagalpur

Mukesh Yadav Son of Kokan Yadav Resident of Village- Sahora, P.S.-  
Rangra, Distt.- Bhagalpur

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

**Appearance :**

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Vijay Anand

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Surendra Kumar

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE CHANDRA PRAKASH  
SINGH**

ORAL ORDER

2      14-05-2025                      Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and  
  
learned A.P.P for the State.

2. The petitioner has preferred this application for grant of regular bail in connection with Gopalpur P.S. Case No. 596 of 2022 dated 23.11.2022 registered for the offences punishable u/s 147, 148, 149, 323, 302 and 504 of the Indian Penal Code and section 27 of the Arms Act.

3. As per the prosecution case, the petitioner and the other co-accused persons holding lathi, danda and other weapons assaulted the informant's uncle due to his denial to marry his daughter as groom was changed. Thereafter, on the exhortation of the co-accused Hiralal Yadav, the



petitioner Mukesh Yadav fired on the informant's brother which hit his back and on the exhortation of the Sonelal Yadav, the co-accused Hiralal Yadav fired on the informant's brother which hit on his chest. Thereafter, the informant's brother was taken to hospital for treatment where he was declared dead.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner is innocent and has falsely been implicated in this case. There is general and omnibus allegation against the petitioner. The petitioner has clean antecedent as stated in para 3 of the bail petition. The petitioner is in custody since 24.11.2022.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State has vehemently opposed the bail petition of the petitioner by submitting that there is direct allegation against the petitioner of firing on the deceased.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case as well as the specific and heinous nature of allegation against the petitioner, I am not inclined to enlarge the petitioner on bail. Accordingly, the application stands rejected.



7. The learned Trial Court is directed to expedite  
the trial and conclude the same at the earliest.

**(Chandra Prakash Singh, J)**

guddukr/-

|   |  |   |  |
|---|--|---|--|
| U |  | T |  |
|---|--|---|--|

