

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.15043 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-156 Year-2024 Thana- CHHATAPUR District- Supaul

Pampal Sharma S/O Domi Sharma Resident of village - Lakshmipur, Ward
No. 05, Police Station - Chhatapur, Dist.- Supaul

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Arun .

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Umesh Lal Verma

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE CHANDRA PRAKASH
SINGH**

ORAL ORDER

2 24-03-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
A.P.P for the State.

2. The petitioner has preferred this application for grant of regular bail in connection with Chhatapur P.S. Case No. 156 of 2024 dated 18.05.2024 registered for the offences punishable u/ss 147, 341, 323, 307, 325, 354B, 379, 385, 504 and 506 of the Indian Penal Code.

3. As per the prosecution case, the informant alleged that while he was working at his door and his family members were harvesting crop, the accused persons variously armed came there and on the exhortation of Shivanandan Sharma, the co-accused persons caught hold of his son and the accused Shivanandan Sharma assaulted him with a *farsa* causing injury



on his head. Thereafter, the petitioner gave iron rod blow on his right hand causing fracture injury. The allegation against the co-accused Domi Sharma is of outraging the modesty of his daughter-in-law while Vinod Sharma assaulted his wife with Dabia on her head. This led to the F.I.R.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner is innocent and has falsely been implicated in this case. The allegation against the petitioner is of assaulting the informant's son Mukesh Yadav on his right hand but as per the injury report no any injury was found on his right hand. Similarly situated co-accused has already been granted bail by the co-ordinate bench of this court *vide* order dated 10.12.2024 passed in Cr. Misc. No. 84022 of 2024. The petitioner has two criminal antecedents as stated in para 3 of the bail petition. The petitioner is in custody since 19.11.2024.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State has vehemently opposed the bail petition of the petitioner by submitting that the informant's son Mukesh Yadav sustained grievous injury.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case as well as the period of custody, the petitioner above-named, is directed to be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail-bond of Rs.20,000/- (Rupees Twenty Thousand) with two sureties of



the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Court concerned, Supaul in connection with Chhatapur P.S. Case No. 156 of 2024.

7. The application stands allowed.

(Chandra Prakash Singh, J)

guddukr/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

