

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.15036 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-480 Year-2024 Thana- SUGAULI District- East Champaran

Md. Ejaj Son of Parwez Alam @ Shekh Parwej Resident of village
-Nimul(Nimui Tola) , P.S.- Sugauli, District - East Champaran

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Ajay Kumar Singh, Adv.

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Shyam Kumar Singh, APP

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RUDRA PRAKASH
MISHRA**

ORAL ORDER

2 18-03-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
APP for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in connection with Sugauli
P.S. Case No. 480 of 2024 instituted for the offences under
Sections 61, 318(4), 338, 217, 248 of the B.N.S.

3. As per prosecution case, the accusation against the
accused persons including the petitioner is of committing fraud
with an intention of deceiving simple people in the name of note
doubling.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the
petitioner is innocent and has committed no offence as alleged
against him and has falsely been implicated in the present case.
The petitioner has not committed any offence as alleged in the



F.I.R. Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that the petitioner was arrested on the basis of the concessional statement of the co-accused Md. Halim who has confessed his guilt of committing fraud with the simple people in the name of note doubling. Thereafter, the petitioner has also confessed his involvement in the present case and, except this, there is nothing against the petitioner. He further submits that nothing incriminating has been recovered from the conscious/physical possession of the petitioner except a mobile and Rs. 2,150/- but, the same belong to the petitioner. The petitioner has one criminal antecedent in which he is on bail and is languishing in judicial custody since 30.10.2024 without any rhymes or reason. Charge-sheet has been submitted in this case.

5. On the other hand, learned A.P.P. for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of bail to the petitioner, stating that the offence alleged against the petitioner is serious in nature. He further submits that from perusal of the impugned order itself, it appears that Para 13 & 14 of the case diary contains the confessional statement of the co-accused Haleem Mian and Md. Aftab who is the Informant of the Sugauli P.S. Case No. 479 of 2024 where both of them have confessed their involvement and also stated about the involvement of the other



accused persons. The petitioner is named in the F.I.R. and, hence, he does not deserve bail.

6. Having heard rival contention of both the parties and considering the entire facts and circumstances of the case as also the period of custody of the petitioner, let the petitioner, abovenamed, be released on bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs.10,000/- (Ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of Court below/concerned Court in connection with Sugauli P.S. Case No. 480 of 2024.

(Rudra Prakash Mishra, J)

rishi/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

