

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.17339 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-679 Year-2024 Thana- AURANGABAD TOWN District-
Aurangabad

=====

Satish Chandra Verma @ Satish Kumar Kashyap Son of Rajendra Prasad
Resident Of Village- Barah Pathar, Dehri On Sone, P.S.- Dehri On Sone,
District -Rohtas, Bihar

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Aditya Prakash Sahay, Advocate
For the State : Mr. Mithlesh Kumar Khare, APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH KUMAR VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 02-04-2025 Heard Mr. Aditya Prakash Sahay, learned counsel for

the petitioner as well as Mr. Mithilesh Kumar Khare, learned

Additional Public Prosecutor for the State.

2. The petitioner is apprehending his arrest in
connection with Aurangabad (Town) P.S. Case No. 679 of 2024,
F.I.R. dated 26.09.2024 for the offences punishable under
Sections 304(2) of the B.N.S., 2023.

3. According to prosecution case, on 24.09.2024,
two unknown persons came on a motorcycle and snatched the
gold chain of the informant. After that, they fled away from the
place of occurrence.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that
petitioner has falsely been implicated in the present case. He



further submits that FIR has been lodged against two unknown persons but the name of the petitioner transpired on the basis of the confessional statement of the co-accused persons namely, Md. Abdul Motalli, Md. Kamul Rasid @ Sonu and Md. Arif Ali. It is further submitted that except the aforesaid, no other cogent material has come during the investigation which suggest the involvement of the petitioner in the present occurrence.

5. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor has vehemently opposed the prayer for bail of the petitioner and submits that the petitioner carries six criminal antecedent of similar nature other than the present one and out of six cases, the petitioner is on bail in one case with respect to Aurangabad (Town) P.S. Case No. 238 of 2024.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances, and the fact that name of the petitioner is transpired on the basis of confessional statement of the co-accused persons and the petitioner is a goldsmith, let the petitioner, above named, in the event of arrest or surrender before the court below within a period of thirty days from the date of receipt of the order, be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned C.J.M., Aurangabad in connection with Aurangabad (Town) P.S. Case No. 679 of 2024,



subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438(2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure/ 482(2) of the BNSS, 2023 and with other following conditions:-

i. Petitioner shall co-operate in the trial and shall be properly represented on each and every date fixed by the court and shall remain physically present as directed by the court and on his absence on two consecutive dates without sufficient reason, his bail bond shall be cancelled by the Court below.

ii. If the petitioner tampers with the evidence or the witnesses, in that case, the prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail.

iii. And further condition that the court below shall verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioner and in case at any stage it is found that the petitioner has concealed his criminal antecedent, the court below shall take step for cancellation of bail bond of the petitioner. However, the acceptance of bail bonds in terms of the above-mentioned order shall not be delayed for purpose of or in the name of verification.

(Rajesh Kumar Verma, J)

priyanka/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

