

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.17842 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-422 Year-2024 Thana- AURANGABAD TOWN District-
Aurangabad

=====

Satish Chandra Verma @ Satish Kumar Kashyap Son of Rajendra Prasad
Resident of Village- Barah Pathar, Dehri On Sone, P.S.- Dehri On Sone,
District- Rohtas, Bihar Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Aditya Prakash Sahay, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Mithlesh Kumar Khare, APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH KUMAR VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 21-04-2025 Heard Mr. Aditya Prakash Sahay, learned counsel

for the petitioner and Mr. Mithlesh Kumar Khare, learned

Additional Public Prosecutor for the State.

2. The petitioner is apprehending his arrest in connection with Aurangabad (Town) P.S. Case No. 422 of 2024, F.I.R. dated 19.06.2024 for the offences punishable under Sections 356, 379/34 of the Indian Penal Code.

3. According to prosecution case, two miscreants came on bike and snatched gold chain worth of Rs. 1,00,000/- from the neck of the informant and ran away.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner is innocent and he has falsely been implicated in the present case on the basis of the confessional statement of the co-accused, Arif Ali and Dhiraj. He further submits that as per allegation in the F.I.R, the petitioner has purchased the stolen



goods from the co-accused person. He further submits that the allegation as alleged in the F.I.R. is false and fabricated and the petitioner has not committed any offences as alleged in the F.I.R. He further submits that except the aforesaid, no other material has come during investigation to suggest the involvement of the petitioner in the present occurrence.

5. Learned Additional Public Prosecutor has vehemently opposed the prayer for bail of the petitioner. He further submits that the petitioner has been made accused in six cases on the basis of the confessional statement of the co-accused, Arif Ali and Dhiraj and out of six cases the petitioner is on bail in two cases and there is same allegation in almost all the cases.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances, let the petitioner, above named, in the event of arrest or surrender before the court below within a period of thirty days from the date of receipt of the order, be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Aurangabad in connection with Aurangabad (Town) P.S. Case No. 422 of 2024, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438(2) of the Code of



Criminal Procedure / Section 482(2) of the Bhartiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita and with other following conditions:-

i. Petitioner shall co-operate in the trial and shall be properly represented on each and every date fixed by the court and shall remain physically present as directed by the court and on his absence on two consecutive dates without sufficient reason, his bail bond shall be cancelled by the Court below.

ii. If the petitioner tampers with the evidence or the witnesses, in that case, the prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail.

iii. And further condition that the court below shall verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioner and in case at any stage it is found that the petitioner has concealed his criminal antecedent, the court below shall take step for cancellation of bail bond of the petitioner. However, the acceptance of bail bonds in terms of the above-mentioned order shall not be delayed for purpose of or in the name of verification.

(Rajesh Kumar Verma, J)

Vanisha/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

