

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.6407 of 2020

Tarannum Khatoon @ Tarannum Ara @ Tarannum Wife of Syed Zahid Akhtar, Resident of Village-Ujjain Tola, P.S.-Bettiah Town, District-West Champaran.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. Bihar State Power Holding Company through the Chief Managing Director, Vidyut Bhawan, Bailey Road, Patna.
2. The Secretary, Bihar State Power Holding Company, Vidyut Bhawan, Bailey Road, Patna.
3. The Finance Officer, Bihar State Power Holding Company, Vidyut Bhawan, Bailey Road, Patna.
4. The Executive Engineer, Electrical Division, Bettiah, West Champaran.
5. Delhi Public School, Muharram Chowk, Bettiah, West Champaran through its Managing Director.

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr.Umesh Chandra Verma, Advocate
For the BSPHCL	:	Mr.Anand Kumar Ojha, Sr. Advocate
	:	Mr.Abhishek Raj, Advocate
	:	Mr.Shankar Kumar, Advocate
For the Respondent/s	:	Mr.Akhileshwar Singh, Advocate
	:	Mr.Ramadhya Singh, Advocate
	:	Mr.Shailesh Kumar, Advocate

CORAM: HONOURABLE JUSTICE SMT. G. ANUPAMA CHAKRAVARTHY

ORAL ORDER

11 27-01-2025 1. The Court's earlier order dated 10.12.2024

reads as under:-

“1.In compliance of the order dated 24.02.2022, on 29.10.2024, the Learned counsel for the petitioner sought for an adjournment for furnishing bank details of the petitioner. At his request, the matter was directed to be



listed today.

2.In spite of it, the Learned counsel for the petitioner could not furnish the bank details of the petitioner and sought for another adjournment.

3.Therefore, the matter is adjourned on payment of cost of Rs. 250/- (Two Hundred Fifty) to the Bihar State Legal Services Authority. List on 27.01.2025.”

2. In spite of the said order, the Learned counsel for the petitioner did not comply the order by paying Rs. 250/- to the Bihar State Legal Services Authority and has also not furnished any bank details to date.

3. On the other hand, Shri Anand Ojha, Learned Senior counsel reports to the Court that petitioner can avail appropriate remedy under the Regulation, 2018.

4. As the petitioner is not inclined to argue the matter or to comply with the previous order, the Writ



petition is dismissed for default.

(G. Anupama Chakravarthy, J)

vinita/-

U			
---	--	--	--

