

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.14528 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-289 Year-2024 Thana- PARSABAZAR District- Patna

Sonu Kumar S/o- Devendra Paswan Resident of Village- Khaprail Chak Ps-
Parsa Bazar PS Parsa, Dist- Patna

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Bijay Kumar

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Narendra Kumar Singh

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ARVIND SINGH CHANDEL
ORAL ORDER

5 16-05-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner as well as the
counsel appearing for the respondent-State.

2. This is the first regular bail application submitted by the
petitioner who has been arrested in connection with Parsa Bazar P.S.
Case No. 289 of 2024 for the alleged offences punishable under
Sections 302 and 328 of the Indian Penal Code.

3. In this case, the name of the deceased is Sanjeev
Kumar. It is alleged that on 13.06.2024 about 03:30 P.M. the present
applicant make a call to the mother of the deceased and thereafter, as
stated by the petitioner the deceased went to his house. Later on near
the house of the present applicant, the deceased was found in
unconscious condition and subsequently, he died. The matter was
reported by the mother of the deceased Archana Devi, on the basis of
such offence has been registered. During the course of investigation,
the applicant got arrested on 30.08.2024 and since then he is in



custody.

4. It is submitted by the counsel for the petitioner that the petitioner herein is innocent and is falsely implicated in this case due to some dispute with the family members of the deceased. He further submits that the deceased himself is a drunker and habitual drug addict. Therefore, he himself consumed some substance due to which he died. Lastly, he submits that applicant is in custody since 30.08.2024, trial will take time. Therefore, on these grounds, he may be granted benefit of bail.

5. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of respondent-State opposes the prayer of bail.

6. Considering the submission made by the counsels and particularly considering the statement of witness Lalan Yadav and Rinki Devi, I am not inclined to grant benefit of bail at this stage to the petitioner. Accordingly, the petition is rejected. However, the petitioner is at liberty to revive the same after the examination of the above mentioned witnesses before the Trial Court.

7. With the aforesaid liberty, the present petition stands rejected.

(Arvind Singh Chandel , J)

Siddharth Soni/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

