

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL APPEAL (SJ) No.1168 of 2024

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-33 Year-2022 Thana- SC/ST District- Araria

=====

Ramanand Tudu SON OF LATE CHOTELAL TUDU RESIDENT OF
VILLAGE- PARWAHA WARD NO. 01, PS- FORBESGANJ, DISTT-
ARARIA

... .. Appellant/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar BIHAR
2. BIRESH YADAV SON OF KISHAN YADAV RESIDENT OF VILLAGE-
PARWAHA WARD NO. 09, PS- FORBESGANJ, DISTT- ARARIA
3. NARESH YADAV SON OF KISHAN YADAV RESIDENT OF VILLAGE-
PARWAHA WARD NO. 09, PS- FORBESGANJ, DISTT- ARARIA
4. KISHAN YADAV NA RESIDENT OF VILLAGE- PARWAHA WARD NO.
09, PS- FORBESGANJ, DISTT- ARARIA
5. SINGHESHWAR YADAV SON OF BACCHU YADAV RESIDENT OF
VILLAGE- NARPATGANJ REWAHI WARD NO. 10, PS- NARPATGANJ,
DIST- ARARIA

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Appellant/s : Mr.Md. Ziaul Quamar
For the Respondent/s : Mr.Usha Kumari 1

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE NAWNEET KUMAR
PANDEY**

ORAL ORDER

5 16-07-2025 Heard learned counsel for the appellant and the State.

2. The present appeal has been filed against the order dated 10-10-2023 passed by the learned Special Judge, SC/ST Act, Araria by which, the learned court below though took cognizance in this case against opposite party Nos. 4 & 6 but the court below having found no material against opposite party Nos. 1, 2, 3, 5 & 7, exonerated them from the case.

3. From perusal of the impugned order, it appears that



the cognizance has been taken by the learned court below against two accused persons; (1) Parmeshwar Yadav and (2) Ramesh Yadav since the witnesses have taken their names. The names of respondents No. 1, 2, 3, 5 & 7 were not found place in the statement of witnesses and that is why, they have been exonerated from the array of the accused.

4. I do not find any error in the impugned order and accordingly, the present appeal is dismissed.

(Nawneet Kumar Pandey, J)

A.K.V//-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

