

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.14970 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-511 Year-2011 Thana- MOTIHARI TOWN District- East
Champaran

=====

Sonu Kumar @ Sonu Singh @ Sonu Kumar Singh Son of Shiv Shankar Singh
Resident of Village - Khodapur, P.S. - Madhuban, District - East Champaran

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Bijay Prakash Singh
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Shantanu Kumar

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE CHANDRA PRAKASH
SINGH**

ORAL ORDER

2 24-03-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
A.P.P for the State.

2. The petitioner has preferred this application for grant of regular bail in connection with Sessions Trial No. 823 of 2012 arising out of Town P.S. Case No. 511 of 2011 dated 26.12.2011 registered for the offences punishable u/ss 399, 402, 414 read with section 34 of the Indian Penal Code and sections 25(1B)(a), 26 and 35 of the Arms Act.

3. As per the prosecution case, some miscreants were planning to commit robbery, in the meantime, police conducted a raid and apprehended four persons including the petitioner and one loaded country made pistol and a mobile phone were recovered from the possession of the petitioner.



4. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner is innocent and has falsely been implicated in this case. Nothing has been recovered from the conscious possession of the petitioner. The petitioner has already been granted bail by the co-ordinate bench of this court *vide* order dated 10.06.2014 passed in Cr. Misc. No. 23437 of 2014 but due to mistake of his parivikar the bail bond has been cancelled. It is further submitted that the petitioner is a labourer and went out of the state for earning his livelihood. It is a case of first misuse of privilege of bail granted to the petitioner. The petitioner has five criminal antecedents as stated in para 3 of the bail petition. The petitioner is in custody since 14.11.2024 .

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State has vehemently opposed the bail petition of the petitioner and submitted that the process of 82 and 83 Cr.P.C. had already been executed against the petitioner.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case as well as the period of custody, the petitioner above-named, is directed to be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail-bond of Rs.20,000/- (Rupees Twenty Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Court concerned, East Champaran, Motihari in connection with



Sessions Trial No. 823 of 2012 arising out of Town P.S. Case
No. 511 of 2011, with a condition/s:-

(i). The petitioner is directed to remain physically
present before the learned Court below on each and every date,
failing which on two consecutive dates without reasonable
cause, the bail bond of the petitioner is liable to be cancelled.

7. The application stands allowed.

(Chandra Prakash Singh, J)

guddukr/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

