

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.16090 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-106 Year-2023 Thana- MAHILA P.S. District- Patna

=====

Ravikant Kumar @ Guddu Son of Saryug Prasad Resident of Village-
Niyamatpur, P.S.- Silao, Distt.- Nalanda

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Renuka Sinha D/O- Brajbhushan Prasad Resident of Village- Vir Kunwar
Singh Nagar, Janta Road, Gardanibagh, P.S.- Gardanibagh, Distt.- Patna

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Rudal Singh, Advocate
For the State : Mr. Satyendra Narayan Singh, APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV ROY
ORAL ORDER

7 21-11-2025 Heard the parties.

2. The petitioner is apprehending his arrest in connection with Mahila P.S. Case No. 106 of 2023 registered for the offence punishable under Sections 341, 342, 323, 504, 506, 379, 34 and 509 and 498(A) of the Indian Penal Code, lodged on 01.11.2023 by the informant Renuka Sinha.

3. The opposite party no.2, who is the wife of the petitioner lodged Sachivalaya (Mahila) P.S. Case No. 106 of 2023 on 01.11.2023 alleging therein that she was married to the petitioner but was always tortured for dowry and also used to make allegation lowering her image in the eyes of the public. In short, he indulged in her character assassination. The lady was



forced to resign from the High School in Nalanda and shifted to Middle School at Patna for the sake of her marriage. However, once she gave birth to a female child, the atrocities increased, in the year 2021 despite a written agreement, he kept on assaulting the lady. The allegation is that on 11.04.2023, taking both her children, the husband left the place, when she went to the in-laws house, was assaulted and all her ornaments were taken away. He is used to sending vulgar messages on the Whatsapp, this led to the F.I.R.

4. Earlier, the learned Sessions Court and later this Court wanted to resolve the matter and the different order sheets would show that every time an undertaking was given, defied. The different whatsapp messages are on record to show that the petitioner also used to call the lady as prostitute. It is unbelievable that a husband can send such kind of messages to a lady who is mother of two children.

5. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petty dispute has been given a different colour, he always wanted to keep his wife and if granted relief, he will be taking his wife to home.

6. Learned counsel for the informant has doubted the intention fo the petitioner submitting that as recorded above



both before the learned Sessions Judge and this Court, he has narrated different story every time, the appearance was made. Further, by a way of counter affidavit, he has again brought on record the documents to show that despite appearing before this Court, he continued sending the messages which are vulgar and unreadable to the lady.

7. The kind of allegation that has come against the petitioner including the assault theory against him coupled with the development/the vulgar messages which he has sent to the lady and brought by way of counter affidavit, in short, is not allowing her to live in peace, in that background, it would be appropriate that he seek bail.

8. The anticipatory bail application stands rejected.

9. The petitioner is required to surrender within a period of four weeks and seek bail failing which the Police shall take appropriate steps to arrest him in accordance with law.

(Rajiv Roy, J)

vinayak/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

