

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.14892 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-505 Year-2024 Thana- PIRO District- Bhojpur

=====

DIPAK KUMAR YADAV S/O CHUMAN YADAV Resident of Village-
Nuaon, PS - Bikramganj, District- Rohtas

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Varun Kumar, Adv.

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Dr.Mrityunjaya Kr.Gautam, APP

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE CHANDRA PRAKASH
SINGH**

ORAL ORDER

3 24-06-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
APP for the State.

2. The petitioner has preferred this application for grant of regular bail in connection with Piro P.S. Case No. 505 of 2024 dated 24.12.2024 registered for the offences punishable u/ss 126(2), 115(2), 109 read with 3(5) of the B.N.S. and Section 27 of the Arms Act.

3. As per the prosecution case, when the informant was taking oil from the pump of Sahdeo Singh then unknown miscreants came there on a motorcycle and fired on the informant which hit his neck. Thereafter, they fled away from the spot. The informant was taken to the hospital for treatment.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner is innocent and has falsely been implicated in this case. The petitioner is not named in the F.I.R. The name of the petitioner has sprung up in his self confessional statement before



police which has no evidentiary value in the eye of law. No T.I.P. has been conducted by the prosecution. The petitioner have no concern with the alleged offence. The petitioner has two criminal antecedents as stated in para 3 of the bail petition. The petitioner is in custody since 28.12.2024.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State has opposed the bail petition of the petitioner and submitted that the petitioner was identified in CCTV footage. It is further submitted that from perusal in para 34 of the case diary, in the self confessional statement of the petitioner, the petitioner has confessed his guilt to the alleged offence.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case as well as the heinous nature of allegation against the petitioner, I am not inclined to enlarge the petitioner above-named on bail.

7. Learned trial court is directed to expedite the trial and conclude the same at the earliest.

8. The application stands rejected.

(Chandra Prakash Singh, J)

Gautam/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

