

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.14159 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-58 Year-2024 Thana- HASANPUR District- Samastipur

Krishandev Kumar @ Krishndev Kumar @ Krishan Dev Kumar S/O Sri Ram
Sahay Mahto @ Ramsahay Mahto Resident of Village- Sihi Tola, P.S.-
Pirauan , P.S.- Hasanpur, District- Samastipur

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Manish Kumar No 13, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mrs. Renu Kumari, APP
For the Informant : Mr. Adarsh Gaurav, Advocate
Mr. Rabish Kumar, Advocate

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RUDRA PRAKASH
MISHRA**

ORAL ORDER

5 16-05-2025 Heard Mr. Manish Kumar No 13, learned counsel

for the petitioner, Mrs. Renu Kumari, learned APP for the State,

Mr. Adarsh Gaurav, learned counsel for the informant and

perused the case diary.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in connection with
Hasanpur P.S. Case No. 58 of 2024, instituted for the offences
punishable under Sections 304-B, 201, 498A/34 of the Indian
Penal Code.

3. The prosecution case, in short, is that, the accused
persons including the petitioner demanded dowry and, due to
non-fulfillment of dowry demand, the deceased was murdered
by them and also disappeared her dead body.



4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is innocent and has falsely been implicated in the present case. Charge-sheet has been submitted in this case. Learned counsel for the petitioner also submits that the petitioner is husband of the deceased. The allegation levelled against the petitioner is general and omnibus in nature. It is next submitted that during course of investigation, witnesses have not supported the contention of the allegation made in the FIR. It is submitted that as a matter of fact, the deceased took poison in a fit of rage following some dispute between the petitioner. The petitioner is in custody since 15.07.2024 and has got no criminal antecedent. Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that similarly situated co-accused have been granted anticipatory bail by this Court vide order dated 16.12.2024 passed in Cr. Misc. No. 82488 of 2024.

5. Learned APP for the State and learned counsel for the informant have vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of bail to the petitioner.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case and taking into account the period of custody undergone by the petitioner, this Court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioner.



7. Let the petitioner be released on bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of Court below/concerned Court in connection with Hasanpur P.S. Case No. 58 of 2024, subject to the following conditions:

(I) One of the bailors shall be own/close member of the family of the petitioner.

(II) The petitioner shall appear on each and every date fixed at the trial. In case of default in such appearance on two consecutive dates, the Trial Court will have liberty to cancel the bail bonds of the petitioner.

(Rudra Prakash Mishra, J)

Rajorshi/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

