

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.14115 of 2025**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-427 Year-2024 Thana- KUDRA District- Kaimur (Bhabua)

Laddu Singh @ Sameer Singh S/o Jitendra Kumar @ Jitendra Kumar Singh
@ Jitendra Singh R/o Village- Tarahani, PS- Sonhan, District- Kaimur

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Shailendra Kumar Singh, Adv.

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Sanjay Kumar Tiwary, APP

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE NAWNEET KUMAR
PANDEY**

ORAL ORDER

7 03-12-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned APP
for the State.

2. The petitioner is apprehending his arrest in connection with Kudra P.S. Case No. 427 of 2024 registered under Sections 109(1), 61(2), 3(5) of the Bhartiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 and Section 27 of the Arms Act.

3. As per prosecution case, the Informant alleges that the petitioner fired at his son Jitendra Sah and made him badly injured.

4. Mr. Shailendra Kumar Singh, learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner is innocent and has falsely been implicated in the present case. The petitioner is a person of clean antecedent. He further submits that nothing incriminating has been recovered from the place of occurrence. Learned counsel for the petitioner lastly submits that



compromise has been arrived between the parties and the compromise petition has also been filed before the court below.

5. On the other hand, Mr. J.N. Thakur, learned APP for the State has opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner, submitting that the injury report corroborates the allegation.

6. The petitioner is a direct assailant. He fired at Jitendra Sah and made him badly injured. Following injuries were found on the person of the injured, Jitendra Sah:-

(i) Puncture wound on left cheek.

(ii) Round wound of posterior side of neck, right side.

Both the injuries are grievous in nature caused by gunshot.

7. The petitioner is the assailant and the injured Jitendra Sah has stated that the petitioner has fired upon him. The petitioner does not deserve privilege of anticipatory bail.

8. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case and also keeping in view the allegation made against the petitioner, this Court is not inclined to grant him the privilege of anticipatory bail, which is hereby rejected.

(Nawneet Kumar Pandey, J)

rishi/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

