

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.14295 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-176 Year-2024 Thana- TAJPUR District- Samastipur

Raju Kumar, S/o- Devendra Ray, r/o Village- Simarwara, P.S.- Patepur,
District- Vaishali.

... .. Petitioner

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Partys

Appearance :

For the Petitioner : Mr. Rajeev Ranjan, Advocate.
For the State : Mr. Nityanand, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

2 02-04-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
APP for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks bail, apprehending his arrest,
in connection with Tajpur P.S. Case No. 176 of 2024, dated
19.07.2024 registered for the offences punishable under
Sections 341, 323, 354-B, 379, 504, 506 read with Section 34 of
the Indian Penal Code.

3. As per allegation, Divorce Proceeding is going on
between Randhir Kumar and his wife Archana Devi in the
Family Court, Samastipur. However, as per allegation, the
family members of the wife came to the house of the husband
and entered into altercation and committed the offence like
assault.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the



Petitioner is innocent and has falsely been implicated in this case. He further submits that all the accused except petitioner has already been enlarged on anticipatory bail by learned court below and present petitioner is brother-in-law (*sala*) of Randhir Kumar whose Divorce Proceeding against the wife is going on and only with intent to put pressure on the family members of his wife, the present case has been lodged by father of Randhir Kumar.

5. It is also stated in paragraph no. 2 of the bail petition that the petitioner has not moved this Court earlier either for anticipatory bail or regular one in the instant case.

6. It has further been stated in paragraph no.3 of the bail petition that the petitioner has no criminal antecedents.

7. However, learned APP for the State vehemently opposes the prayer of the Petitioner for bail.

8. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances, **this petition is allowed**, directing the petitioner, above-named, to be enlarged on bail, in the event of his arrest or surrender before the court below within a period of eight weeks from the date of receipt / production of a copy of this order, on his furnishing bail bonds in the sum of Rs.10,000 /- (Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of



learned A.C.J.M. 1st, Samastipur, in connection with Tajpur P.S. Case No. 176 of 2024, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438 (2) Cr.PC and on the following conditions:

(i) In case, it is brought to the notice of the court below that the petitioner has any criminal antecedents, learned court below shall cancel the bail bonds of the petitioner after hearing him and getting satisfied that the petitioner has concealed his criminal antecedents despite his knowledge of the same.

(ii) In case, it is brought to the notice of the court below that statement regarding previous bail petition is wrong, learned court below shall cancel the bail bonds of the petitioner.

(Jitendra Kumar, J)

S.Ali/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

