

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.18891 of 2025**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-44 Year-2025 Thana- Excise P.S. District- Aurangabad

Binod Chaudhary S/o- Kohan Chaudhary Resident of Biratpur Mohalla PS-
Aurangabad Dist- Aurangabad

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Adarsh Singh, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Shyam Kumar Singh, APP

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH KUMAR VERMA
ORAL ORDER**

2 23-04-2025 Heard Mr. Adarsh Singh, learned counsel for the

petitioner and Mr. Shyam Kumar Singh, learned Additional

Public Prosecutor for the State.

2. The petitioner is apprehending his arrest in connection with Aurangabad Excise P.S. Case No. 44 of 2025, F.I.R dated 11.01.2025 registered for the offences punishable under Sections 30(a) and 32(3) of Bihar Prohibition and Excise (Amendment) Act, 2018.

3. Recovery is of 20.700 liters of foreign made liquor.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has falsely been implicated in the present case. He further submits that the allegation as alleged in the F.I.R is false and fabricated. He further submits that it appears from the F.I.R



and seizure list that nothing has been recovered from the conscious possession of the petitioner rather the recovery has been made from the vehicle in question and the petitioner has been made accused merely on the ground that he is the owner of the motorcycle bearing Registration No. BR26X-0950 and he was not apprehended along with illicit liquor or the motorcycle in question. In fact, the petitioner gave the motorcycle in question to his friend and he was apprehended along with the illicit liquor.

5. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor has vehemently opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner referring the provision contained in Section 76(2) of the Bihar Prohibition and Excise Act and submitted that the pre-arrest bail would not be maintainable and apart from that the petitioner carries three cases of similar nature other than the present one but fairly submits on the basis of paragraph 3 of the bail petition that the petitioner is on bail in the pending matter.

6. This court is aware of the decision of the Full Bench in the case of **Ram Vinay Yadav vs. State of Bihar** reported in **2019(2) P.L.J.R. 1089**. Having regard to the law laid down in the aforesaid judgment and the submission advanced on behalf of the parties, this Court, for the limited purpose of grant



of anticipatory bail, is inclined to accept the submission of counsel for the petitioner.

7. Considering the aforesaid facts, nothing has been recovered from conscious possession of the petitioner and the petitioner has clean antecedent, let the petitioner, above named, in the event of his arrest or surrender before the court below within a period of thirty days from the date of receipt of the order, be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Special Judge Excise court No. 2, Aurangabad, in connection with Aurangabad Excise P.S. Case No. 44 of 2025, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438(2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure / Section 482(2) of the Bhartiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita and with other following conditions:-

i. Petitioner shall co-operate in the trial and shall be properly represented on each and every date fixed by the court and shall remain physically present as directed by the court and on his absence on two consecutive dates without sufficient reason, his bail bond shall be cancelled by the Court below.

ii. If the petitioner tampers with the evidence or the witnesses, in that case, the prosecution will be at liberty to move



for cancellation of bail.

iii. And further condition that the court below shall verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioner and in case at any stage it is found that the petitioner has concealed his criminal antecedent, the court below shall take step for cancellation of bail bond of the petitioner. However, the acceptance of bail bonds in terms of the above-mentioned order shall not be delayed for purpose of or in the name of verification.

(Rajesh Kumar Verma, J)

Jyoti Kumari/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

