

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.14063 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-426 Year-2024 Thana- BIKRAMGANJ District- Rohtas

Vikash Pandit @ Vikash Kumar Son of Dilip Pandit Resident of Village-
Dharupur Police Station -Bikramganj District -Rohtas at Sasaram

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. N.K. Agrawal, Sr. Adv. Mr. Raghunandan Kumar Singh, Adv.
For the Opposite Party/s	:	Mr. Dr. Ajeet Kumar, APP
For the Informant/s	:	Mr. Babu Nandan Prasad, Adv.

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE CHANDRA PRAKASH
SINGH**

ORAL ORDER

8 18-09-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner, learned
counsel for the informant and learned APP for the State.

2. The petitioner has preferred this application for grant of regular bail in connection with S.Tr. No. 594 of 2024 arising out Bikramganj P.S. Case No. 426 of 2024 dated 18.07.2024 registered for the offences punishable u/s 103, 317(5) read with section 3(5) of the BNS and section 25(1-B) (a), 26 and 35 of the Arms Act

3. As per the prosecution case, unknown miscreants are alleged to have shot the informant's son dead.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner is innocent and has falsely been implicated in



this case. The petitioner is not named in the FIR. The name of the petitioner has sprung up in this case on mere suspicion. There is no eye-witness to the alleged occurrence. It is further submitted that there is no specific allegation against the petitioner rather the allegation against the petitioner is general and omnibus in nature. The petitioner has seven criminal antecedents as stated in para 3 of the bail petition. The petitioner is in custody since 25.07.2024. The co-accused person has already been granted regular bail by this Court vide order dated 18.08.2025 passed in Cr. Misc. No. 25440 of 2025.

5. Learned counsel for the Informant as well as learned A.P.P. for the State has vehemently opposed the bail petition of the petitioner and submitted that as per the para 49 of the case diary, the petitioner in his confessional statement has stated that he fired three bullets on the head of the deceased and the co-accused, Chandan also fired one bullet in the head of the deceased due to which he died.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case as well as the heinous nature of offence against the petitioner, this court is not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner and the same is rejected in connection with S.Tr. No. 594 of 2024 arising out Bikramganj P.S. Case No. 426 of 2024,



pending in the Court of learned Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate-1st, Bikramganj, Rohtas.

7. The application stands rejected and the learned trial court is directed to expedite the trial of the petitioner and conclude the same at the earliest.

(Chandra Prakash Singh, J)

shivam/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

