

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.15284 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-66 Year-2024 Thana- MAHKAR District- Gaya

Ramsakhiya Devi, W/O Late Sunil Manjhi, R/O Village- Adampur, P.S-
Mahakar, District- Gaya.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Vishwa Ranjan Choudhary, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Braj Kishore Pd., APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ASHOK KUMAR PANDEY
ORAL ORDER

2 05-03-2025 Heard Mr. Vishwa Ranjan Choudhary, learned counsel
for the petitioner and Mr. Braj Kishore Pd., learned APP for the
State.

2.The instant application for anticipatory bail has been
filed by the petitioner apprehending her arrest in connection with
Mahakar P.S. Case No. 66 of 2024 instituted for the offence under
Sections 147, 148, 149, 341, 342, 323, 307, 302, 504, 506 and
120(B) of the Indian Penal Code and Section 3/4 of Prevention of
Dian Witch Act.

3. The case of the prosecution is that the petitioner
along with 11 others being armed with *tangi*, *pasuli*, *khanti*, *iron*
rod and *lathi* arrived at the door of the informant. They entered in
the house of the informant. They pulled his father Jagdish Manjhi
out of the house and started assaulting him. It is further alleged
that Barhan Manjhi, Rohit Manjhi, Madan Manjhi, Jatan



Manjhi, Manoj Manjhi and Mithilesh Manjhi closed the father of the informant in *Anganwari Kendra* and while being rushed to hospital, he died.

4. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner has submitted that petitioner is innocent and has committed no offence. Petitioner is a lady and she has falsely been implicated in this case. A statement has been made in para-3 of this petition that the petitioner has got no criminal antecedent. The nature of allegation is general and omnibus. There is no specific allegation against the petitioner. It has also been submitted that co-accused persons having similar nature of allegation have been granted anticipatory bail by a co-ordinate Bench of this Court vide order dated 29.01.2025 in Cr. Misc. No. 67299 of 2024.

5. In contra, learned APP appearing for the State has opposed the prayer of bail of the petitioner.

6. Having heard learned counsel for the parties and in the facts and circumstances of the case as stated above, this Court is inclined to enlarge the petitioner on bail. The petitioner is directed to surrender in the Court below within a period of four weeks from today and in the event of her arrest or surrender in connection with Mahakar P.S. Case No. 66 of 2024, she will



be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/-
(Rupees ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each
to the satisfaction of learned court of Additional Chief Judicial
Magistrate-I, Gaya, subject to the conditions as laid down under
section 438(2) of the Cr.P.C.

(Ashok Kumar Pandey, J)

lata/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

