

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.17166 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-340 Year-2022 Thana- SONEPUR District- Saran

Sanny Singh @ Sanny Kumar S/O Jitendra Singh R/O Village- Manas
Panapur (Chiraiya Tok), P.S- Akilpur, Distt.- Saran at Chapra.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Shashi Kumar S/O Hira Lal Sahu R/O Ekangardih, P.S- Ekangarsarai, Distt.-
Nalanda, at present- Cashier Uttar Bihar Gramin Bank, Govindchak, P.S-
Sonepur, Distt.- Saran at Chapra.

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Mirityunjay Kumar, Advocate
For the Informant	:	Mr. Prabhakar Jha, Advocate
For the State	:	Mr. Abhay Kumar, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH KUMAR VERMA
ORAL ORDER

3 09-05-2025 Heard Mr. Mritiunjay Kumar, learned counsel for
the petitioner, Mr. Prabhakar Jha, learned counsel for the
Informant and Mr. Abhay Kumar, learned APP for the State.

2. Petitioner seeks bail, who is in custody since
25.01.2025, in connection with Sonpur P.S. Case No. 340 of
2022, F.I.R. dated 18.05.2022 registered for the offences
punishable under Sections 395 and 412 of the Indian Penal
Code.

3. The F.I.R. of the occurrence of loot is against
unknown.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the
petitioner is innocent and he has been falsely implicated in the
present case. He further submits that the petitioner is not named



in the F.I.R. and the name of the petitioner has been transpired on the basis of the confessional statement of co-accused person namely Kunal Kumar and till date no test identification parade was conducted by the prosecution and except the confessional statement of co-accused person, no other cogent material has come during investigation to suggest the involvement of the petitioner in the present occurrence. He further submits that co-accused person namely Kunal Kumar whose confessional statement the name of the petitioner has come has been granted bail by a Coordinate Bench of this court vide order dated 28.06.2023 passed in Cr. Misc. No. 72702 of 2022.

5. Learned counsel for the Informant as well as learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for bail of the petitioner and submits that the petitioner carries one more case of similar nature other than the present one.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts that the name of the petitioner has come on the basis of confessional statement of co-accused person and similarly situated co-accused person has been granted bail by a Coordinate Bench of this Court, let the petitioner, above named, be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties



of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Judicial Magistrate 1st Class, Saran at Chapra in connection with Sonpur P.S. Case No. 340 of 2022, subject to the following conditions :-

(1) Petitioner shall co-operate in the trial and shall be properly represented on each and every date fixed by the Court and shall remain physically present as directed by the Court and on his absence on two consecutive dates without sufficient reason, his bail bond shall be cancelled by the Court below.

(2) If the petitioner tampers with the evidence or the witness, in that case, the prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail.

(3) And, further condition that the court below shall verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioner and in case at any stage, it is found that the petitioner has concealed his criminal antecedents, the court below shall take step for cancellation of bail bond of the petitioner. However, the acceptance of bail bonds in terms of the above-mentioned order shall not be delayed for purpose of or in the name of verification.

Ibrar//-

(Rajesh Kumar Verma, J)

U			
---	--	--	--

