

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.15716 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-168 Year-2024 Thana- RIVILGANJ District- Saran

Sonu Yadav @ Sonu Kumar Yadav @ Sonu Kumar Son of Madan Yadav
Resident of Vilage- Sitab Diara, Alekh Tola, P.S.- Revilganj, Distt.- Saran

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Sanjay Kumar Mishra, Advocate
	:	Mr. Ashok Kumar, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s	:	Dr. Ajeet Kumar, APP

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RUDRA PRAKASH
MISHRA**

ORAL ORDER

3 16-05-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
APP for the State. Perused the case diary.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in connection with
Revelganj P.S. Case No. 168 of 2024 instituted for the offences
under Section 304B of the Indian Penal Code.

3. Prosecution case, in short, is that the petitioner
alongwith the family members tortured and killed the deceased
for the non-fulfillment of demand of dowry.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the
petitioner has falsely been implicated in the present case.
Petitioner is the husband of the deceased. Learned counsel for
the petitioner submits that general and omnibus allegation has
been made against the petitioner. No specific overt act is alleged



against the petitioner. Learned counsel further submitted that the allegation levelled against the accused persons including the petitioner is not corroborated by the witnesses during investigation. Learned counsel further submitted that as per post-mortem report of the deceased, cause of death is not ascertained and no any external injury was found on the body of the deceased. It has been submitted on behalf of the petitioner that the petitioner is in custody since 09.09.2024 and has no criminal antecedent. The co-accused person has already been granted bail by this Court vide order dated 19.02.2025 passed in Cr. Misc. No. 82503 of 2024.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of bail to the petitioner.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case, material available in the case diary and there being no specific allegation against the petitioner as also the period of custody undergone by the petitioner, this Court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioner.

7. Let the petitioner be released on bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs.10,000/- (Ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of Court below/concerned Court in connection with Revelganj P.S. Case



No. 168 of 2024, subject to the following conditions:

(I) One of the bailors shall be own/close member of the family of the petitioner.

(II) The petitioner shall appear on each and every date fixed at the trial. In case of default in such appearance on two consecutive dates, the Trial Court will have liberty to cancel the bail bonds of the petitioner.

(III) If the petitioner tampers with the evidence or the witnesses, in that case, the prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail.

(Rudra Prakash Mishra, J)

Alok Verma/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

