

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.15804 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-720 Year-2024 Thana- GAYA MUFASIL District- Gaya

1. Sanjay Yadav @ Sanjay Kumar S/O Kishori Yadav Resident of Mohalla- Utari Lakhibag, P.S.- Muffasil, District- Gaya
2. Bullu Yadav S/O Late Bhui Yadav Resident of Mohalla- Utari Lakhibag, P.S.- Muffasil, District- Gaya
3. Ranjeet Yadav S/O Late Bhui Yadav Resident of Mohalla- Utari Lakhibag, P.S.- Muffasil, District- Gaya
4. Gautam Yadav @ Gautam Kumar S/O Late Bhui Yadav Resident of Mohalla- Utari Lakhibag, P.S.- Muffasil, District- Gaya
5. Gautam Yadav @ Gautam Kumar S/O Manjhla @ Nanhu Yadav Resident of Mohalla- Utari Lakhibag, P.S.- Muffasil, District- Gaya
6. Kishori Yadav @ Raj Kishore Yadav S/O Brahmdev Yadav Resident of Mohalla- Utari Lakhibag, P.S.- Muffasil, District- Gaya

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Sanjay Kumar Sharma, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Ram Bilash Roy Raman, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PURNENDU SINGH
ORAL ORDER

3 04-09-2025 Heard earned counsel appearing on behalf of the
petitioners and learned APP for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks pre-arrest bail in connection
with Muffasil P.S. Case No. 720/2024 registered for the
offence(s) punishable under Sections
191(2),191(3),126(2),115(2),125(a),109,351,352, of the BNS
and Section 27 of the Arms Act.

3. As per the allegation made in the FIR, the accused
persons named therein including the petitioners have assaulted



the son of the informant, causing injury.

4. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners submitted that petitioners are innocent and have falsely been implicated in the present case. There is case and counter case between the parties and due to petty dispute, an altercation took place and both the sides entered into fierce fight and in the self-defence, petitioners may have caused some injury on the person of the informant's son without intention.

5. Learned APP for the State vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of pre-arrest bail.

6. Having considered the rival submissions made on behalf of the parties, as well, having perused the allegation made in the FIR and also the fact that there is case and counter case between the parties and due to petty dispute, an altercation took place and both the sides entered into fierce fight and in the self-defence, petitioners may have caused some injury on the person of the informant's son without intention, I am of the opinion that petitioners have, *prima facie*, made out a case to be released on pre-arrest bail.

7. The petitioners, above named, are directed to be released on pre-arrest bail, in the event of their arrest or surrender before the learned District Court within a period of



four weeks from today, on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate- VI, Gaya / Concerned Court in connection with Muffasil P.S. Case No. 720/2024, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 482(2) of the BNSS.

8. The learned District Court is directed to verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioners and if it is found that the petitioners are involved in some other cases, as what has been stated in paragraph no.3 of the bail application, this order will automatically lose its force.

9. The learned District Court is directed to verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioner and if it is found that the petitioner is involved in some other cases, as what has been stated in paragraph no.3 of the bail application, this order will automatically lose its force.

(Purnendu Singh, J)

Sanjay/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

