

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Miscellaneous Jurisdiction Case No.781 of 2025
In
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.10898 of 2022

=====

Krishnakant Narayan Singh son of Late Shiv Narayan Singh, resident of Adai Bhawan, OTA Gate No. 5, Paharpur, Police Station-Medical Gaya in the district of Gaya.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through Mr. (Dr.) S. Siddharth the Principal Secretary, Education Department son of not known to the petitioner, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
2. Mr. (Dr.) S. Siddharth, son of not known to the petitioner, the Principal Secretary, Education Department, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
3. Mr. Rahul Singh, son of not known to the petitioner, the Secretary, Expenditure, Finance Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
4. Mr. Pankaj Kumar, son of not known to the petitioner, the Director, Primary Education, Government of Bihar, Patna.
5. Mr. Tyag Rajan, son of not known to the petitioner, the District Magistrate cum Collector, Gaya.
6. Mr. Om Prakash, son of not known to the petitioner, the District Education Officer, Gaya.
7. Mr. Gopal Krishna, son of not known to the petitioner, the District Program Officer (Estb.), Gaya.
8. Mr. Satya Prakash Narayan, son of not known to the petitioner, the Treasury Officer, Gaya Treasury, Gaya.
9. Mr. Pushkar Kumar, son of not known to the petitioner, the Accountant General, Bihar, Patna.

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Sunil Kumar, Adv.
For the Opposite Party/s : G.P.-27
For AG : Mr. Bindhyachal Rai, Adv.

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE HARISH KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

4 14-11-2025 Heard the parties.

2. Mr. Sunil Kumar, learned Advocate for the petitioner submitted that in compliance with the order of



this Court, finally deducted amount of gratuity has been paid to the petitioner but almost after a delay of twenty months without there being any interest over the delayed payment of deducted amount, which kept in the Bank and earned interest over it.

3. Learned Advocate for the State taking this Court through the order under contempt submitted that there is no confrontation that some procedural delay has occurred, however, there was no such direction for payment of interest over the delayed amount.

4. Be that as it may, considering the submissions advanced, the contempt petition stands closed.

5. However, the petitioner shall be at liberty to file appropriate application before the competent authority for the interest over the delayed payment.

(Harish Kumar, J)

brajesh/-

U			
---	--	--	--

