

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.24684 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-121 Year-2024 Thana- GOPALGANJ COMPLAINT CASE
District- Gopalganj

Pradeep Kumar @ Pradeep Thakur Son of Heera Lal Thakur @ Hira Lal
Thakur Village- Banjari, Ps- Gopalganj, Dist- Gopalganj Petitioner/s
Versus

1. The State of Bihar bihar
2. Abdul Manan son of Late Dil Mohammad village- Dargah Road, Ward no.
25, Ps- Gopalganj, Dist- Gopalganj Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr.Devashish Giri
For the State	:	Mr.Nitya Nand Tiwary
For the O.P. No. 2	:	Mr. Amir Alam,

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE NAWNEET KUMAR
PANDEY**

ORAL ORDER

3 03-12-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner as well as the
learned APP for the State.

2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in connection with
Complaint Case No. 121 of 2024, registered for the offences
punishable under Sections 467, 468, 471, 465, 420 and 120-B of the
IPC.

3. The complainant avers in his complaint petition that his
wife is the vendee from the original raiyats, Ghanshyam Ahir and
Telha Raut. After execution of the sale deed, the name of the
complainant's wife was mutated in the revenue records. According to
allegation, the petitioner has no right or title over the land in dispute
and some part of that land is said to have been registered in the name
of the petitioner fraudulently.

4. The learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted
that he purchased the land in dispute from the vendee of the heir of



the original raiyat. His further submission is that when it came to the knowledge of the petitioner that some portion of his purchased land had been sold by the heir of the *Sikmi Raiyat* to two other persons, the petitioner again obtained a sale deed for that piece of land from the vendee of the heirs of the *Sikmi Raiyat*.

5. On the other hand, learned counsel for the complainant has opposed the prayer by submitting that knowing the fact that the land is in dispute is purchased land of wife of the complainant, the petitioner purchased the land in question with conspiracy with the vendors.

6. It appears that the dispute is of purely a civil nature and an ultimate remedy lies before the Civil Court of the competent jurisdiction.

7. Considering the above-mentioned facts and circumstances, in the event of his arrest or surrender within four weeks before the learned court below, be released on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned CJM, Gopalganj in connection with Complaint Case No. 121 of 2024, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438(2) of the CrPC/482(2) of the BNSS, 2023.

(Nawneet Kumar Pandey, J)

Nirmal/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

