

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.13510 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-340 Year-2024 Thana- GORAUL District- Vaishali

-
1. Chandradeep Ray S/O Machchu Ray Village- Chhaurahi, Katahra, P.S.- Goraul, District- Vaishali
 2. Sheela Devi W/O Chandradeep Ray Village- Chhaurahi, Katahra, P.S.- Goraul, District- Vaishali

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State Of Bihar PATNA

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Ms. Rupa Kumari, Adv.
For the State	:	Mr. Anant Kumar 1, APP.
For the Informant	:	Mr. Vasant Vikas, Adv.

CORAM: HONOURABLE JUSTICE SMT. SONI SHRIVASTAVA
ORAL ORDER

4 17-06-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioners, learned APP for the State and learned counsel appearing for the informant.

2. The petitioners apprehend their arrest in a case registered for the offences punishable under Section 80, 3(5) of the B.N.S.S., 2023.

3. The case of the prosecution is that the informant's sister was married to co-accused Vikky Kumar @ Sandeep and was subjected to demand of dowry and torture and upon non-fulfillment of the same, she has been done to death mainly by her husband Sandeep along with other accused persons including the petitioners.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that it



would be apparent from the reading of the FIR itself that the main allegation of assault is on the husband of the deceased and he is primarily responsible for her death. It is also submitted that the petitioners happened to be the father-in-law and mother-in-law of the deceased, respectively and they have no connection with day to day affairs of the deceased and her husband. It is further submitted that the deceased was suffering from depression due to the fact that she could not conceive and hence, she committed suicide. It is next submitted that there is no specific allegation of dowry demand and atrocity on the petitioners. It is lastly submitted that the husband of the deceased has voluntarily surrendered before the learned Court below and is in custody since 02.04.2025.

5. Learned APP for the State and learned counsel for the informant oppose the prayer for bail.

6. Considering the fact that petitioners are the in-laws of the deceased and primary allegation and responsibility of welfare of the deceased (wife) is upon the petitioner (husband), who is already in custody, I am inclined to grant the privilege of anticipatory bail to the petitioners who have no criminal antecedent. Let the petitioners, above named, in the event of their arrest or surrender before the Court below within four



weeks, be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (ten thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned lower Court where the case is pending/successor Court in connection with Goraul P.S. Case No.340 of 2024, subject to the condition as laid down under Section 438 (2) of the Cr.P.C/ 482 (2) of the BNSS, 2023.

(Soni Shrivastava, J)

divyanshi/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

