

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Miscellaneous Jurisdiction Case No.765 of 2025

In
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.14999 of 2024

=====

Sudami Devi Wife of Late Shyam Nandan Das, R/o Village- Bataspur, P.S.-
Makhdumpur, District- Jehanabad.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through Sri Pankaj Kumar, the Additional Secretary
Public Health Engineering Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
2. Ms. Alankrita Pandey, the District Magistrate, Jehanabad.
3. Mr. Mritunjay Kumar, the Block Development Officer, Makhdumpur,
Jehanabad.
4. Mr. Amit Kumar, the Executive Engineer, P.H.E.D., Jehanabad.
5. Ms. Sweta Kumari, the Junior Engineer, P.H.E.D., Jehanabad.
6. Mr. Om Prakash Chaudhary, the Junior Engineer, Block Project Monitoring
Unit, Mukhya Mantri Gramin Payjal Nischay Yojana.
7. Mr. Rajesh Kumar, the Mukhiya, Gram Panchayat, Kachnawan, Block-
Makhdumpur, District- Jehanabad.
8. Mr. Shankar Thakur, the Panchayat Secretary, Gram Panchayat, Kachnawan,
Block- Makhdumpur, District- Jehanabad.

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Umesh Kumar, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Ms. Dimpal Kumari, AC to GP-11

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV ROY
ORAL ORDER

2 28-11-2025 Heard Mr. Umesh Kumar, learned counsel for the

petitioner and the State.

2. The present petition has been preferred for the
following relief(s):

*“for initiating a contempt proceeding
against the contemnor/opposite parties for non
compliance of the order dated 30.09.2024 passed*



in CWJC No. 14999 of 2024.”

3. On 30.09.2024, CWJC No. 14999 of 2024 (Sudami Devi vs. The State of Bihar & Ors.) was disposed of directing the respondents to look into the case of the petitioner and pass a reasoned order.

4. A show-cause has come which incorporates the reasoned order passed by the Collector, Jehanabad vide memo no. 895 dated 15.05.2025 and learned counsel for the petitioner submits that pursuant thereto, payments have been made.

5. He submits that still the petitioner has some grievance.

6. This Court is satisfied that the order has been complied and the liberty is always there to agitate the matter if there is any grievance, in that background, MJC No. 765 of 2025 stands disposed of.

(Rajiv Roy, J)

Adnan/-

U			
---	--	--	--

