

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.13507 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-173 Year-2024 Thana- Cyber P.S. District- Gaya

=====

Anshul Sharma S/o- Pramod Sharma Resident Of Mohalla- Mahavir Sthan
Tower Chowk, Ps- Kotwali, Dist- Gaya

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Nagendra Kumar Singh, Adv.

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Navin Kumar Pandey, APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RUDRA PRAKASH MISHRA
ORAL ORDER

2 28-03-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned

APP for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in connection with Gaya
Cyber P.S. Case No. 173 of 2024 instituted for the offences
under Section 318(4), 319(2), 336(3), 338, 340(2), 111, 61(2) of
the B.N.S., 2023 and Section 66(C), 66(D) of the I.T. Act.

3. As per prosecution case, the petitioner and other
accused persons were running a call center and were committing
Cyber fraud.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the
petitioner is innocent and has committed no offence as alleged
against him and has falsely been implicated in the present case.
He further submits that nothing incriminating has been
recovered from the conscious possession of the petitioner. The
petitioner is simply an employee of Paynol Solution Private Ltd.



and has nothing to do with the affairs of the Company and has no concern with the alleged occurrence. The petitioner has no criminal antecedent and is languishing in judicial custody since 01.12.2024 without any rhymes or reason.

5. On the other hand, learned A.P.P. for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of bail to the petitioner, stating that the offence alleged is serious in nature. Learned counsel for the State again submits that the prayer for bail of the several accused persons have been rejected by a Co-ordinate Bench of this Court vide orders all dated 07.03.2025 passed in Cr. Misc. Nos. 11312 of 2025, 13056 of 2025, 13038 of 2025, 11919 of 2025 & 11576 of 2025 respectively.

6. Having heard learned counsel for the parties and considering the nature and gravity of the offence, this Court is not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner.

7. Accordingly, the prayer for bail of the petitioner, above named, is rejected with a direction to the court below to expedite the trial and conclude the same expeditiously.

(Rudra Prakash Mishra, J)

rishi/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

