

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.19196 of 2016

1. Ram Kumar Ram Son of Bishundeo Ram
2. Rama Nand Ram Son of late Govind Ram
3. kailash Ram Son of late Kishan Ram
4. Kari Mandal Son of late Jano Mandal
5. Satendra Mandal@Sahindra Mandal Son of late Parmeshwar Mandal
6. Binodi Ram Son of late Kunji Ram
7. Mahendra Ram Son of late Mahabir Ram
8. Lachhman Ram Son of late Goving Ram
9. Domni Devi Wife of late Yogendra Ram
10. Kaleshwar@Kalar Ram Son of late Mahabir Ram
11. Gholat Ram son of late Sadhu Ram
12. Sudi Rishidev Son of late Bindeshwari Rishidev
13. Umesh Rishidev Son of Manohar Rishidev
14. Dinesh Rishidev Son of late Manohar Rishidev All resident of Village-Gamail, P.S. Bihariganj, District- Madhepura.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar
2. The District Magistrate, Madhepura.
3. The D.C.L.R. Uda Kishunganj, Madhepura.
4. The S.D.O. Bihariganj, Madhepura.
5. The Circle Officer, Bihariganj, Madherpura.

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Bijendra Kumar Singh, Advocate
For the Respondent/s : Mr. Rishi Raj Sinha, SC-19

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV ROY
ORAL ORDER

2 02-09-2025 Heard the parties.

2. The present petition has been preferred for the
grant of following relief(s):

*“(i) to issue an appropriate writ in the
nature of mandamus or any other appropriate
writ(s), order(s), direction(s), commanding the
Respondent to direct the Respondent no. 5 to*



grant the land receipt from 2013 to up-to-date without any rhyme and reason the same has been stopped by them.

(ii) to direct the Respondent authorities not to disturb the peaceful physical possession of the land Mauza Gamil, P.S. Bihariganj, Circle- Uda Kishunganj, District- Madhepura.

(iii) to grant any other relief or reliefs for which the petitioners are found entitled.”

3. After some argument, learned counsel for the petitioners submit that they shall be approaching the concerned authorities for the redressal for the grievance with all the relevant documents.

4. Needless to add, if such petition is preferred, the same shall be taken to its logical conclusion at an earliest.

5. The writ petition stands disposed of with the aforesaid observation.

(Rajiv Roy, J)

vinayak/-

U			
---	--	--	--

