

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.13087 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-14554 Year-2022 Thana- PATNA COMPLAINT CASE District-
Patna

=====

Amit Kumar Son of Rajniti Prasad Singh Resident of Village- Moh-
Mohaddipur, PS- Kasim Bazar, Distt.- Munger, PIN-811201

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Sweety Kumari D/O- Amod Prasad Singh, Wife of Amit Kumar Resident of
Moh- Road No. 21, Rajeev Nagar, P.s.- Rajeev Nagar, Distt.- Patna, PIN-
800024

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner	:	Mr. Pawan Kumar Singh, Advocate
For the State	:	Mr. Uday Pratap Singh, APP
For Opposite Party No. 2:	:	Mr. Danish Sami, Advocate

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PRABHAT KUMAR SINGH
ORAL ORDER

3 22-07-2025 Heard learned counsel appearing on behalf of the

petitioner, learned APP appearing on behalf of the State and

learned counsel appearing on behalf of the complainant

/Opposite Party No. 2.

2. The petitioner, husband of the complainant

/Opposite Party No. 2, apprehends his arrest in a case registered

for the offence punishable under Section 498A of the Indian

Penal Code.

3. Earlier, vide order dated 28.04.2025, the matter was

referred to Mediation and Conciliation Centre, Patna High

Court. From perusal of mediator's report dated 20.06.2025 it is



apparent that despite best efforts, the dispute between the parties could not be resolved through the process of mediation.

4. As per prosecution case, marriage of complainant/Opposite Party No. 2 was solemnized with this petitioner on 21.02.2018 and thereafter, all the accused persons named in the complaint petition, including this petitioner, started demanding dowry and due to non-fulfillment of demand of dowry, the complainant/Opposite Party No. 2 was subjected to cruelty and harassment.

5. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner submits that the petitioner denies the allegations made in the complaint petition. Petitioner never committed torture to complainant/Opposite Party No. 2 or demanded any dowry. However, the petitioner undertakes to give Rs. 3,000/- (three thousand rupees) per month by way of temporary relief/solace, starting from this month, to the complainant/Opposite Party No. 2. Petitioner claims clean antecedents.

6. Learned A.P.P. for the State and learned counsel appearing on behalf of the complainant/Opposite Party No. 2 have vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

7. In view of the undertaking of learned counsel for



the petitioner that petitioner is ready to give temporary relief/solace of ***Rs. 3,000/- (three thousand rupees) per month to complainant/Opposite Party No. 2***, in the event of arrest/surrender within a period of eight weeks from today, let the petitioner, above-named, be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned A.C.J.M. XI, Patna in connection with Complaint Case No. 14554(C) of 2022, subject to condition as laid down under Section 482(2) of B.N.S.S. with further following conditions:

“(A.) The Complainant/Opposite Party No. 2 would file an affidavit before the court below and bring on record her saving bank account number for its communication to the petitioner.

(B.) Petitioner would deposit the aforesaid aforesaid amount per month in the saving bank account of the Complainant/Opposite Party No. 2 .

(C.) In case, the petitioner fails to deposit the aforesaid amount for two consecutive months, the court below would be at liberty to cancel the bail bond.

(D.) The aforementioned payment will be subject to any order passed in matrimonial, maintenance or connected proceedings. The present order, in no way, will preclude the parties to resolve the issue otherwise.”

(Prabhat Kumar Singh, J)

shashank/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

