

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.15085 of 2016

-
-
1. Alaha Yadav son of Gena Yadav
 2. Mauzi Yadav son of Alha Yadav
 3. Ram Prakash Mahto son of Ram Saran Mahto
 4. Uchit Baitha son of Badlu Baitha
 5. Gautam Mahto son of late Dinesh Mahto
 6. Mohan Mahto Son of Ram Lagan Mahto All are resident of Village-
Gangauli, P.O.-Sakhmohan, P.S.-Bibhutipur, District-Samastipur

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar
2. The Collector, Samastipur.
3. The Circle Officer Bibhutipur Block, District-Samastipur
4. Surendra Rajak son of Bishnu Deo Rajak Resident of Pramod Kumar, son of
Late Ambika Prasad resident of Village- Gangauli, P.O.-Sakhmohan, P.S.-
Bibhutipur, District-Samastipur
5. Pradeep Narayan Singh son of Late Baleshwar Narayan Singh Resident of
Village-Dehaur, P.S.-Barh, District-Patna

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	None
For the Respondent/s	:	Mr. Rishi Raj Sinha- SC19

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV ROY
ORAL ORDER

2 29-07-2025 No one appears on behalf of the petitioner though the

State is present.

2. The present petition has been preferred for the
following relief(s):

“i. For quashing / setting aside the order

dated 05.05.2015 passed by Hon'ble Ms. Justice

Mridula Mishra, the Chairman, Bihar Land



*Tribunal, Patna in B.L.T. Cas No. 832 / 2013
[Arising out of C.W.J.C. No. 15227/2011]
[Surendra Rajak VS. The State of Bihar & Ors.]
whereby and where under the order dated
24.05.2011 passed by the Collector, Samastipur in
Basgit Purcha Appeal No. 27/2010 as well as the
order dated 23.03.2004 passed by the Circle
Officer, Bibhutipur, Samastipur in Case No. 23 of
2003-04/25.09.2006 are set aside and further, the
matter has been remanded back to the Circle
Officer, Bibhutipur to decide both the issues
properly as per statutory provision and the matter
must be decided within three months from the date
of production/receipt of this order without
following the facts and circumstances of the case.*

*ii. For grant of any other relief or reliefs,
if the petitioners are found entitled to.”*

3. For want of prosecution, dismissed.

(Rajiv Roy, J)

Adnan/-

U			
---	--	--	--

