

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.19688 of 2016

=====

Bhola Gupta @ Bhuli Gupta S/o Shyam Sundar Gupta R/o Mohalla-Station
Road Mohania, P.S.-Mohania, District-Kaimur, Respondent No. 3 before the
Divisional Commissioner, Patna

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar.
2. The Deputy Collector Land Reforms, Mohania, District-Kaimur
3. Lalita Kunwar W/o Late Shyamu Yadav
4. Arjun Yadav
5. Bhim Yadav
6. Nakul Yadav
7. Amar Yadav
8. Mahendra Yadav Respondents From Sl. No. 3 to 8 All S/o Late Shyamu
Yadav R/o Village-Mohania, P.S.-Mohania, District-Kaimur
9. Manoj Gupta
10. Baldeo Gupta
11. Amarnath Gupta
12. Trilok Gupta All Sons of Late Shyam Sundar Gupta
13. Asha Kuer Wife of Late Shyam Sundar Gupta All Resident of Mohalla-
Station Road Mohania, P.O. and P.S.-Mohania, district-Kaimur

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Binod Kumar Singh, Advocate
For the Respondent/s : Mr. Raj Kishore Roy-GP-18

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV ROY
ORAL ORDER

2 25-08-2025 Heard the parties.

2. The present petition has been preferred for the
following relief(s):

*“i. for the issuance of an appropriate writ,
order or direction for setting aside the order dated
03.03.2016 passed by the Divisional Commissioner,
Patna in Land Dispute Appeal Case No.- 334 of 2012*



by which the Learned Divisional Commissioner, Patna has been pleased to quash the order dated 25.06.2012 passed by Respondent No.-2, Deputy Collector, Land Reforms, Mohania in Land Dispute Case No. 118 of 2011-12 on the ground that the above order was passed without examination of merit of this case by the DCLR, Mohania.

ii. And for any other relief or reliefs for which the petitioner is entitled for.”

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he shall be approaching the Bihar Land Tribunal, Patna (for brevity, ‘the Tribunal’) by filing the appropriate petition.

4. The State has no objection to it.

5. In case, the same is preferred within four weeks from today, ‘the Tribunal’ shall take into account that the writ petition was pending before this Court for last eight years before deciding the limitation petition.

6. The writ petition stands disposed of.

(Rajiv Roy, J)

Adnan/-

U			
---	--	--	--

