

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.12782 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-196 Year-2022 Thana- PRANPUR District- Katihar

Vikram Kumar S/O Ram Kishun Chauhan R/O Village- Bheriya Rahika, P.S.-
Sahayak, Distt.- Katihar.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Vinay Ranjan

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Jagdhar Prasad

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 28-03-2025 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in a case
registered for the offence punishable under Sections 30(a), 37(i)
of Bihar Prohibition and Excise Act, 2018.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that
petitioner is a person with clean antecedent and allegation is of
recovery of 7.3 litres of liquor from possession of Doma
Sharma. It is next submitted that petitioner was not arrested
from the spot as such nothing was recovered from his conscious
possession and he has no concern or relation with Doma Sharma
and came to be implicated based on confessional statement of
Doma Sharma in police custody, which does not have any
evidentiary value.



4. Learned A.P.P. for the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner.

5. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, the petitioner above-named, in the event of his arrest or surrender before the learned Court below within a period of six weeks from today, be released on provisional anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs.500/- (Rupees five hundred) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned trial court where the case is pending/successor court in connection with Pranpur (Roshna O.P.) P.S. Case No. 196/2022, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438 (2) of the Cr.P.C.

6. It is made clear that the learned trial court thereafter shall verify the criminal antecedents of the petitioner and in the event if it is found that petitioner has antecedent of even one case, in that event, the provisional anticipatory bail order shall not be confirmed but if on verification it is found that petitioner is a person with clean antecedent, in that event the provisional anticipatory bail order shall be confirmed forthwith.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

amit/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

