

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.8400 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-87 Year-2024 Thana- GANGTA District- Munger

Sanjay Pandit @ Sanjay Kumar S/o- Ghanshyam Pandit @ Jay Prakash Pandit @ Jai Prakash Pandit Resident Of Village- Jamghat, Ps- Gangta, Dist- Munger

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

with
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No. 12102 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-87 Year-2024 Thana- GANGTA District- Munger

Bhabesh Kumar Yadav Son of Late Narsingh Prasad Yadav R/O Mohalla- Banoli, P.S.- Gangta, District- Munger, Bihar

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

with
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No. 14475 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-87 Year-2024 Thana- GANGTA District- Munger

Ram Pravesh Singh S/O Late Arjun Singh R/O Mohalla- Jamghat, P.S.- Gangta, Dist.- Munger.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

with
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No. 14667 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-87 Year-2024 Thana- GANGTA District- Munger

Sarita Devi Wife of Ram Pravesh Singh Resident of Mohalla - Jamghat, P.S. - Gangta, District - Munger

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar Bihar



... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

(In CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No. 8400 of 2025)

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Praveen Kumar

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Ram Bilash Roy Raman

(In CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No. 12102 of 2025)

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Sanjeev Kumar

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Choubey Jawahar

(In CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No. 14475 of 2025)

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Ajay Kumar Thakur, Advocate

Mr. Sanjeev Kumar

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Ashok Kumar Singh

(In CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No. 14667 of 2025)

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Sanjeev Kumar

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Ashok Kumar Singh

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP KUMAR

ORAL ORDER

3 08-05-2025 **Ref:- Cr. Misc. No. 8400 of 2025 & Cr. Misc. No. 12102 of 2025**

Both these applications are arising out of the same P.S. Case i.e., Gangta P.S. Case No. 87/2024. Hence, they are being heard together and are being decided by this common order.

2. Heard the learned counsel for the petitioners and learned APP for the State.

3. The petitioners seek regular bail in a case registered for the offence under Sections 103(1), 238, 61(2) & 3(5) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023.

4. As per the prosecution case, the informant has alleged that the petitioners along with other co-accused persons have committed murder of her son and for concealing the crime,



they threw the body of the deceased in a field.

5. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners are innocent and have not committed any crime. He further submits that during investigation it has come that the petitioners had participated in the disposal of the body and not killing of the deceased. The petitioner namely Sanjay Pandit @ Sanjay Kumar is in custody since 21.09.2024 and the petitioner namely Bhabesh Kumar Yadav is in custody since 20.09.2024.

6. Learned A.P.P. for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for bail.

7. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, these applications for regular bail are allowed.

8. Accordingly, let the petitioners namely Sanjay Pandit @ Sanjay Kumar & Bhabesh Kumar Yadav be released on bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 10,000/- (Ten Thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Judicial Magistrate 1st Class, Munger/concerned Court below in connection with Gangta P.S. Case No. 87 of 2024.

9. These applications for regular bail stand allowed.

Ref:- Cr. Misc. No. 14475 of 2025 & Cr. Misc. No. 14667 of 2025



Both these applications are arising out of the same P.S. Case i.e., Gangta P.S. Case No. 87/2024. Hence, they are being heard together and are being decided by this common order.

2. Heard the learned counsel for the petitioners, Sri Ajay Kumar Thakur and learned APP for the State.

3. The petitioners seek regular bail in a case registered for the offence under Sections 103(1), 238, 61(2) & 3(5) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023.

4. As per the prosecution case, the informant has alleged that the petitioners along with other co-accused persons have committed murder of her son and for concealing the crime, they threw the body of the deceased in a field.

5. There was suspicion raised against the petitioners. During investigation it has come that the daughter of the petitioners was in a relationship with the deceased and because of that, the deceased was called and he was killed by strangulation. The duppatta used in the crime was recovered on the confession of Sarita Devi. The petitioners namely Ram Pravesh Singh & Sarita Devi are husband and wife i.e., they are the parents of the girl.

6. The petitioner namely Ram Pravesh Singh is in



custody since 27.09.2024 and the petitioner namely Sarita Devi is in custody since 21.09.2024.

7. Considering the fact that the petitioners are involved in the killing of the deceased, I am not inclined to grant bail to the petitioners.

8. Accordingly, these applications for regular bail stand rejected.

(Sandeep Kumar, J)

Shishir/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

