

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.14977 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-252 Year-2024 Thana- BIHIA District- Bhojpur

Santosh Prashad Son of Late Jhulan Kahar @ Late Jhulan Rawani Resident of village - Kataya, P.S.- Bihiya, District - Bhojpur.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Prabhat Kumar Singh, Adv.

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Md. Shakir Ahmad, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE CHANDRA PRAKASH SINGH

ORAL ORDER

2 27-03-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned APP for the State.

2. The petitioner has preferred this application for grant of regular bail in connection with Bihiya P.S. Case No. 252 of 2024 dated 14.08.2024 registered for the offences punishable u/s 103 of the B.N.S.

3. As per the prosecution case, the marriage of the informant's daughter was solemnized with the petitioner 12 years ago. A few days after the marriage, his daughter lives happily with her in-laws but for the past seven years, the petitioner used to assault her physically and that matter was used to communicate to the informant by his daughter. The petitioner runs a grocery store in the same village. On



13.08.2024, the informant got the information that his daughter was beaten to death by her husband. When he arrived at her in-laws house with his family, he found her lying dead on the bed and the petitioner fled away from the house. The informant's daughter head was injured and there were wounds on both her legs along with blood stains on her clothes.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner is innocent and has falsely been implicated in this case. The petitioner is the husband of the deceased and he has no concern with the alleged offence. The petitioner neither demanded any dowry nor tortured the deceased. The petitioner has no criminal antecedent as stated in para 3 of the bail petition. The petitioner is in custody since 08.09.2024.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State has opposed the bail petition of the petitioner and submitted that the petitioner is the husband of the deceased and the specific allegation against the petitioner who committed murder of his wife. As per re-statement in para 3, 4 and 5 of the case diary, the witnesses Dharamveer Bharti, Paramveer Kumar and Sunil Kumar also supported the prosecution case.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case as well as the specific and heinous nature of



allegation against the petitioner, I am not inclined to enlarge the
petitioner above-named on bail.

7. The application stands rejected.

(Chandra Prakash Singh, J)

Gautam/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

