

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.12889 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-176 Year-2023 Thana- NIMCHAKBATHANI District- Gaya

Sharvan Chaudhary Son of Yogendra Chaudhary Resident of Village -
Bhinnak Bigha, P.S.- Neemchak Bathani, District - Gaya.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Priya Ranjan, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Zainul Abedin, APP

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RUDRA PRAKASH
MISHRA**

ORAL ORDER

2 27-03-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
APP for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in connection with
Neemchak Bathani P.S. Case No. 176 of 2023 instituted for the
offences under Sections 302/34 of the Indian Penal Code.

3. Prosecution case, in short, is that, the accused
persons including the petitioner are alleged to have killed the
deceased by assaulting him.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the
petitioner has falsely been implicated in the present case.
Learned counsel further submitted that the petitioner has been
dragged in this case merely because he happens to be the son of
the Yogendra Chaudhary in whose house the informant's son is



alleged to have been assaulted. There is no independent witness to support the allegation. The co-accused persons have already been granted bail by this Court vide order dated 31.01.2025 passed in Cr. Misc. No. 15243 of 2024. It has been submitted on behalf of the petitioner that the petitioner is in custody since 26.07.2024 and has no criminal antecedent.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of bail to the petitioner. Learned APP further submitted that the prayer for grant of bail to the co-accused persons has already been rejected by a coordinate Bench of this Court vide order dated 18.04.2024 passed in Cr. Misc. No. 10802 of 2024.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case, nature and gravity of offence, this Court is not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner at this stage.

7. Accordingly, the prayer for grant of bail to the petitioner is, hereby, rejected.

(Rudra Prakash Mishra, J)

Alok Verma/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

