

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.14018 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-346 Year-2024 Thana- CHAPRA TOWN District- Saran

Saurabh Singh @ Saurabh Kumar Singh @ Kuber Kumar Singh Son of Ajay Kumar Singh Resident of Village- Kohra Bazar, P.S.- Daudpur, District- Chhapra

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Yashraj Bardhan, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Umesh Lal Verma, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PRABHAT KUMAR SINGH
ORAL ORDER

2 02-04-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner, learned
A.P.P. for the State

2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in a case registered for the offences punishable under Sections 147, 148, 149, 307, 302/34 and 120(B) of the Indian Penal Code as well as Section 27 of the Arms Act and later 25 (1-b)/a/26/35 of Arms Act were added.

3 . As per the prosecution case, informant alleged that on 20.05.2024 during Lok Sabha Election at Booth No. 318 and 319, the RJD candidate came when some miscreants abused and misbehaved with her and also attacked her for which Chapra P.S. Case No. 342 of 2024 was instituted. It is next alleged that on account of the said occurrence dated 20.05.2024, 12 named



accused persons including the petitioner on 21.05.2024 along with 40-50 unknown accused came variously armed and intercepted his son Chandan Ram at 07:00 a.m. at Bhikhari Chauk. Further, on orders of Chandan Singh, Manoj Kumar Singh and Mintu Singh, accused Ramakant Singh shot the son of the informant on his chest, thereafter petitioner shot Guddu Kumar causing injury on his waist while Ram Pratap Singh shot Manoj Ram causing injury on his temple and Satya Nand Singh shot Deepak causing injury on his right rib. It is next alleged that on alarm, the informant along with others came at the place of occurrence when the accused persons fled away and the injured were taken to the hospital where the Doctor declared Chandan Rai dead.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that petitioner is not named in the F.I.R.. As per F.I.R., informant who claims to be eye witness to the alleged occurrence alleged that it was co-accused Ramakant Singh who shot the son of the informant on his chest and thereafter other F.I.R., named accused persons committed the offence . Informant has not raised any suspicion or whispered anything against this petitioner in the F.I.R., The name of the petitioner transpired during course of investigation where it is stated that this petitioner was seen at



the place of occurrence and was giving direction to other co-accused persons. There is no specific allegation of any overt act against this petitioner and he is in no way connected with the alleged occurrence .

5 . Learned counsel for the State and bfsc oppose the bail petition of the petitioner.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case , in the event of arrest or surrender within eight weeks from today, let the petitioner, as named above, be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.10,000/-(ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned AdJ – X saran at Chhapra in connection with Chhapra Town P.S. case No. 346 of 2024 , subject to the conditions laid down under section 482 (2) of BNSS 2023 .

(Prabhat Kumar Singh, J)

Koushik/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

