

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.12338 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-434 Year-2024 Thana- UCHKAGAON District- Gopalganj

Ranjan Yadav @ Ranjan Kumar Son of Gopi Chand Yadav Resident of
Village- Itawa or Itwa, P.S.- Uchkagaon, District- Gopalganj

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Babu Nandan Prasad- Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Rabindra Kumar- A.P.P.

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

- 228-03-2025
1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned APP for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in anticipation of his arrest in a case registered for the offences punishable under Section 30(a) of the Excise Act.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has antecedent of two cases and the allegation is of recovery of 17.280 litres of liquor from a motorcycle.

4. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner was not arrested from the spot, as such, nothing was recovered from his conscious possession and is not the owner of the seized vehicle and he came to be



implicated based on confessional statement of Ashok in police custody, which does not have any evidentiary value.

5. Learned A.P.P. Sri Rabindra Kumar opposes the anticipatory bail application.

6. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, the petitioner, above-named, in the event of his arrest or surrender before the learned Court below within a period of six weeks, is directed to be released on provisional anticipatory bail on his furnishing bail-bonds in the sum of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Additional District & Sessions Judge-XIII-cum- Special Excise Court No.1, Gopalganj in connection with Uchkagaon P. S. Case No.434 of 2024, subject to the conditions laid down under Section 438(2) of the Cr.P.C.

7. The application stands allowed.

8. It is made clear that the learned trial Court after accepting the provisional bail bonds of the petitioner shall verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioner and in the event, if it is found that petitioner has antecedent of more



than two cases, in that event, the present provisional anticipatory bail order shall not be confirmed, but if on verification, it is found that petitioner has antecedent of only two cases, in that event, the provisional anticipatory bail order shall be confirmed forthwith.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

vikash/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

